



2009-10 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

CCCAA 2009 FALL CONFERENCE RESULTS

**OPEN PERIOD FOR AMENDMENTS-TO-THE-AMENDMENTS WILL BE
NOVEMBER 15 THROUGH JANUARY 14.**

COA BOARD ITEMS

Items requesting an effective date prior to July 1, 2010, require a ¾ vote of approval by the COA Board.

<u>Item</u>	<u>Classification</u>	<u>Article/Bylaw/Championship Handbook</u>	<u>Results</u>
COA-1-F09	Policy	Article 6.2.4	Pending CCCAA Convention
COA-2-F09	Policy	Bylaws 6.8.7 & 6.14.5.D	Approved, effective 10/30/09
COA-4-F09	Segmental	Softball Championship Handbook 2.3.C	Approved, effective 1/1/10
COA-6-F09	Segmental	Softball Championship Handbook 2.3.F	Approved, effective 1/1/10
COA-7-F09	Segmental	Track and Field Championship Handbook 1.5.2.3.1	Approved, effective 1/1/10
COA-8-F09	Segmental	Track and Field Championship Handbook 2.3.2.1	Approved, effective 1/1/10
COA-9-F09	Segmental	Track and Field Championship Handbook 2.3.3	Pending CCCAA Convention
COA-10-F09	Segmental	W Volleyball Championship Handbook 2.3.1.G	Approved, effective 10/30/09
COA-11-F09	Segmental	Water Polo Championship Handbook 2.3.2.B.....	Approved, effective 10/30/09

POLICY ITEMS

Will require a 2/3 vote of the delegates at the 2010 CCCAA Convention

<u>Item</u>	<u>Classification</u>	<u>Article/Bylaw/Championship Handbook</u>	
P-12-F09	Policy	Bylaw 1.3.7	Pending CCCAA Convention
P-13-F09	Policy	Bylaw 1.6	Pending CCCAA Convention
P-14-F09	Policy	Bylaws 3.5.2 and 3.11, Basketball	Pending CCCAA Convention
P-16-F09	Policy	Bylaw 3.16.D, Track & Field	Pending CCCAA Convention
P-17-F09	Policy	Bylaws 6.8.2 and 6.12.2	Pending CCCAA Convention
P-18-F09	Policy	Bylaw 8.1.2.1.A, Football	Pending CCCAA Convention
P-19-F09	Policy	Bylaw 8.1.3.11.4.D, Football	Pending CCCAA Convention
P-1-S09	Policy	Article 1.5.4.G, Appendix B, Forms R-1 and R-4	Pending CCCAA Convention

OPERATIONAL ITEMS

(None Proposed)

SEGMENTAL ITEMS

Will require a majority vote of delegates with programs offered in the appropriate segment

<u>Item</u>	<u>Classification</u>	<u>Article/Bylaw/Championship Handbook</u>
S-20-F09	Segmental	Basketball Championship Handbook W-1.5.2.4 Pending CCCAA Convention
S-21-F09	Segmental	Cross Country Championship Handbook 2.3.1 Pending CCCAA Convention
S-22-F09	Segmental	Football Championship Handbook 2.3.3 Pending CCCAA Convention
S-23-F09	Segmental	Men's Golf Championship Handbook 4.14.1 Pending CCCAA Convention
S-24-F09	Segmental	Women's Golf Championship Handbook 4.14.D Pending CCCAA Convention

CCCAA MANAGEMENT COUNCIL ITEMS

MC-1-F09	Sport Rule	Bylaw 4.2.6.E & Men's Golf Championship Handbook 4.15.E Approved, effective 7/1/10
MC-2-F09	Sport Rule	Bylaw 4.2.7.L & Women's Golf Championship Handbook 4.15.L Approved, effective 7/1/10
MC-3-F09	Sport Rule	Bylaw 4.2.14, Women's Volleyball Approved, effective 10/29/09

EDITORIAL ITEMS- PASSED BY COA CONSTITUTION COMMITTEE/COA BOARD

Approved-1-F09	Editorial	Bylaw 3.11, Baseball Approved, effective 8/28/09
Approved-2-F09	Editorial	Baseball Championship Handbook 1.3.2.C & 1.3.3.E Approved, effective 8/28/09
Approved-3-F09	Editorial	Baseball Championship Handbook 2.2.2.3 Approved, effective 1/1/10
Approved-4-F09	Editorial	Basketball Championship Handbook M-2.3.2.1 Approved, effective 10/6/09
Approved-5-F09	Editorial	Softball Championship Handbook 1.4.A Approved, effective 1/1/10
Approved-6-F09	Editorial	Softball Championship Handbook 2.3.B Approved, effective 1/1/10
Approved-7-F09	Editorial	Track & Field Championship Handbook 3.1.6.1 Approved, effective 1/1/10
Approved-8-F09	Editorial	Track & Field Championship Handbook 4.3 & 4.3.2 Approved, effective 1/1/10
Approved-9-F09	Editorial	Track & Field Championship Handbook 4.14.1 Approved, effective 1/1/10
Approved-10-F09	Editorial	Track & Field Championship Handbook 4.1.B & C Approved, effective 1/1/10
Approved-11-F09	Editorial	Track & Field Championship Handbook 4.15 & 4.15.1 Approved, effective 1/1/10
Approved-12-F09	Editorial	Bylaws 6.8.3.B, 8.1.3.2, and all sports' CHs 4.2 & 4.5.1 Approved, effective 10/6/09
Approved-13-F09	Editorial	Baseball Championship Handbook 4.15.1.A, 4.15.3.B & E.. Approved, effective 10/6/09

WITHDRAWN OR FAILED IN COMMITTEE ITEMS- FAILED BY COA CONSTITUTION COMMITTEE/COA BOARD

COA-3-F09	Removed	Softball Championship Handbook 2.2 Failed in Committee 10/6/09
COA-5-F09	Removed	Softball Championship Handbook 2.3.D Failed in Committee 10/6/09
P-15-F09	Policy	Bylaw 3.11, Basketball, M/W Withdrawn 10/29/09

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
<i>Green border indicates item has been approved.</i>					
<i>Blue border indicates item is a conference or sport rule change/exception adopted by the CCCAA Management Council.</i>					
<i>Yellow border indicates item is pending delegate action at the 2010 CCCAA Annual Convention.</i>					
<i>Pink border indicates item has failed, or has been withdrawn by originator, or removed for reason stated.</i>					
COA BOARD ITEMS					
<i>(Items requesting an effective date prior to July 1 require a ¾ majority vote of approval by COA Board)</i>					
COA-1-F09 \$ Policy Originator: Carlyle Carter	Article 6.2.4	<u>6.2.4 Affiliate organizations shall not make decisions impacting the budgets of the membership without approval through the CCCAA legislative process. (See Article 8.)</u>	To ensure that affiliate organizations do not make decisions that will impact the budget of membership.	Colleges have received invoices from affiliate organizations without anticipating or approving the expenditures. Example: A coaches association may require payment for access to a website to post scores required for eligibility to progress to postconference competition. Effective Date: Immediate July 1, 2010 Constitution Committee: Filter okay. Effective immediately upon COA Board approval. Finance Committee: Filter okay. Recommend approval.	<i>For action at 2010 CCCAA Annual Convention</i>
COA-2-F09 Policy Originator: Carlyle Carter	Bylaws 6.8.7.C & 6.14.5.D	6.8.7 AWARDS: Bylaw 7 defines the awards to be provided... A. <i>No change.</i> , B. <i>No change.</i> C. For regional events, the purchase of awards is an option of the event planners. When regional awards are given, they shall follow the same guidelines as for the championship event. The COA awards vendor has regional level awards available, which may be purchased through the Office of the COA Executive Director. The same procedures previously listed will be used in the case of regional competition. Regional awards quotas are directed by Bylaw 7. The number of awards given shall not be greater than those authorized for state championship events.	To remove language that is inconsistent with COA awards vendor contract.	Regional awards are not optional. Regional awards are ordered by the COA office, as defined in Bylaw 7, per COA contract with awards vendors. Effective Date: Immediate Constitution Committee: Filter okay. Effective immediately upon COA Board approval. Postconference Competition Review Committee: Filter okay.	APPROVED COA BOARD 10/30/09

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<i>Note: Same paragraph is repeated in Bylaw 6.14.5.D.</i>			
COA-4-F09 Segmental Originator: Darci Brownell 3CFCA	Softball Championship Handbook 2.3.C	C. Each conference shall seed their <u>its</u> own teams in order. Seeding Committee representatives must follow their conference recommendations. As a first order of business, each conference representative shall present its conference <u>teams in rank order</u> two automatic qualifiers. The rank order <u>They</u> shall be noted <u>visibly</u> and kept by the meeting chair.	Language clean-up.	To clarify the seeding representatives responsibility. Effective Date: January 1, 2010 Constitution Committee: Filter okay. Questioned word “seed” in reference to conference order of finish. Recommend effective date July 1, 2010. Postconference Competition Review Committee: Filter okay. Also recommend looking at changing word “seed” to “submit.”	APPROVED COA BOARD 10/30/09
COA-6-F09 Segmental Originator: Darci Brownell 3CFCA	Softball Championship Handbook 2.3.F	F. The seeding Committee shall follow the procedures listed below. 1. For the purpose of seeding, seeding representatives shall nominate their conference team (s) 2. In order to establish the top 16 seeds, the following selection group order shall be used: a. First – select the at-large team(s). b. Second – Seed teams 1 through 4, 5 through 8, seeds 9-12, then 13-16. <u>The rank order will be revealed after all 16 teams have been seeded.</u> c. In each group (at-large teams, seeds 1-4, seeds 5-8, seeds 9-12, and seeds 13-16), the criteria in D above shall be used to determine the seeds	Language clean-up to reflect the current practice.	To keep the seeding process objective. Effective Date: January 1, 2010 Constitution Committee: Filter okay: Recommend effective date July 1, 2010. Postconference Competition Review Committee: Filter okay.	APPROVED COA BOARD 10/30/09
COA-7-F09 Segmental Originator: Gary Bluth 5CTCA	Track and Field Championship Handbook 1.5.2.3.1	NOTE: Current 1.5.2.3.1 will become <u>1.5.2.3.2</u> CCCAA Track and Field Southern California Regionals <u>1.5.2.3.1 CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regionals</u> <u>Sites for the Northern California Regionals are selected two (2) years in advance at the 5CTCA’s August meeting. An application to host a postconference competition must be</u>	Clarifies old wording.	Simplify a rule. Effective Date: January 1, 2010 Constitution Committee: Filter okay: Recommend COA Board approval. Postconference Competition Review Committee: Filter okay.	APPROVED COA BOARD 10/30/09

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p><u>received by the Monday prior to the August meeting.</u></p> <p><u>A. The CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship Trials shall be held on the Friday or Saturday two (2) weeks prior to the CCCAA Track and Field State Championships.</u></p> <p><u>B. The CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship Finals shall be held on the Friday or Saturday one (1) week prior to the CCCAA Track and Field State Championships.</u></p> <p><u>C. The CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Multi-Event Championships shall be held on the Tuesday and Wednesday prior to the week of the scheduled conference championships.</u></p>			
<p>COA-8-F09 Segmental Originator: Gary Bluth 5CTCA</p>	<p>Track and Field Championship Handbook 2.3.2.1</p>	<p>2.3.2.1 CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship <u>Trials</u></p> <p>Qualifying marks to the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship Trials are set annually at the December meeting by the Northern California Representatives. These qualifying marks are stated on the Northern California Community College Track & Field Qualifying Standards <u>lists</u>, which are posted on the Northern California Community College Track & Field website <u>www.norcalstat.com website. An athlete may qualify into the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship Trials according to a three-tier system, which is listed below from the highest to the minimum potential qualifying mark.</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. Automatic Standard. If this relatively high standard is attained, the athlete in that specific event is not required to participate in that event at his or her conference championship meet.</u> <u>2. Nor-Cal Standard. If this standard is met, the athlete must participate with an honest effort in that event at his or her conference championship meet.</u> <u>3. Nor-Cal Provisional Mark. A maximum of twenty-four</u> 	<p>Clarifies old wording.</p>	<p>Simplify a rule. Effective Date: January 1, 2010 Constitution Committee: Filter okay; Recommend COA Board approval. Finance Committee: Postconference Competition Review Committee: Filter okay.</p>	<p>APPROVED COA BOARD 10/30/09</p>

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p><u>(24) may be entered into the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Championship Trials. The provisional standard indicates the minimum mark to be considered when qualifying athletes into the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Championship Trials. This provisional mark is activated only if twenty-four (24) athletes do not meet the Nor-Cal standard mark. An athlete who qualifies under this procedure must participate with an honest effort in his or her conference championship meet in the specific event under consideration.</u></p> <p><u>Note: Not all athletes who have met the provisional standard will automatically be entered into the Nor-Cal Trials.</u></p> <p><u>A. Conference Champion Exception. A Conference Champion in a single or relay event automatically qualifies to compete in the CCCAA Track & Field Regional Championship Trials:</u></p> <p><u>B. Number of Entries per Event. When using a nine (9)-lane track, there shall not be more than a total of twenty-seven (27) entries in each event. When using an eight (8) lane track, there shall not be more than twenty-four (24) entries in each event.</u></p> <p><u>C. Qualifying Marks. A qualifying mark must meet the following conditions:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The mark must be recorded in a regularly scheduled meet, while the athlete or relay team is representing his/her/its college.</u> <u>2. If the mark occurs in a non-directathletics.com meet, that mark must be reported to www.directathletics.com within ten (10) days of the competition.</u> <u>3. Only Fully Automated Times (FAT) will be accepted in all lane races.</u> 			

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p>A.D. <u>Entry Deadlines. Sunday before the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship trials. The following time table has been established for the Sunday immediately prior to the CCCAA Track & Field Regional Championship Trials:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <u>10:00AM: Entries to the CCCAA Track & Field Regional Championship Trials close on the www.directathletics.com website.</u> ➤ <u>Not later than 10:30AM: The list of entries will be posted for public view in which any missing late entries must be brought forward during this time period. on the www.norcalstat.com website for public view.</u> ➤ <u>If an error is apparent, a coach must immediately contact one (1) of the following persons at the Northern California Track & Field Seeding Meeting: His/her Nor-Cal Conference Representative; the Nor-Cal Track & Field Chair; or the Meet Manager. See (E) for the late entry rule.</u> ➤ <u>Ninety (90) minutes later: Review period closes. The review period closes ninety (90) minutes after the entries have been posted.</u> ➤ <u>2:00PM: CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship representatives seeding meeting to establish final entries for The conference representatives at the Northern California Track and Field Seeding Meeting will establish the final entries for the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Track and Field Regional Championship Trials.</u> <p>B.E. <u>Late Entry Rule. After the 10:00AM entry deadline, until the end of the ninety (90) minute review period, late entries may be considered, but only on a space available basis.</u></p> <p>C.F. <u>Late Penalty Fee. Athletes and/or a relay team may be added to the Trials at a penalty of \$100.00 per athlete/per</u></p>			

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p>event, up to a maximum of \$500.00 per college.</p> <p><u>G. Participation (formerly Honest Effort) Rule. The Nor-Cal Multi-Event Championships, CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Track and Field Regional Championship Trials, and the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Track and Field Regional Championship Finals are considered to be three (3) separate meets.</u></p> <p><u>H. Alternates to the Trials. After the completion of the Nor-Cal Seeding Meeting, alternates will not be allowed to fill any vacated slots, unless the Nor-Cal Representatives deem that special circumstances warrant a replacement.</u></p> <p><u>2.3.2.1.1 Seeding of athletes into the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship Trials:</u></p> <p><u>A. All Races:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. Seeding for all races shall be performed utilizing the serpentine method based on seasonal best marks listed on the www.directathletics.com website.</u> <u>2. Lane assignments shall be randomly drawn for each heat.</u> <p><u>B. Throwing events and the horizontal jumps:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. Seeding for the throwing events (shot put, discus throw, hammer throw, javelin) and the horizontal jumps (long jump, triple jump) shall be performed utilizing the serpentine method, based on seasonal best marks listed on the www.directathletics.com website.</u> <u>2. The order of competition within flights shall be from the worst to the best mark (suggested for safety reasons). The event official will divide the athletes into flights after the competitors have checked in to balance the numbers between flights. Each athlete will be allowed three (3) attempts.</u> <p><u>2.3.2.1.2 Advancing athletes into the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Championship Finals:</u></p>			

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p><u>A. An athlete or relay team may not advance to the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship Finals without competing in the Trials.</u></p> <p><u>B. Qualifying by Event:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. Sprints and Hurdles. Regarding the 100m, 200m, 400m, 100m/110m and the 400m hurdles, if there are three (3) heats scheduled, the winner in each heat shall automatically qualify to the CCCAA Northern California Regional Championship Finals. The remaining lanes will be filled on the basis of the next fastest times recorded by the other athletes. Either eight (8) or nine (9) athletes shall qualify for the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship Finals, based on the number of lanes at the site of the Finals competition. If only two (2) heats are contested, then the top two (2) finishers in each heat shall be automatic qualifiers.</u> <u>2. 800 meters and Relays: If two (2) heats are run, the top two (2) from each heat shall automatically qualify. The remaining lanes will be filled on the basis of the next fastest times recorded by the other athletes. Either eight (8) or nine (9) athletes shall qualify for the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship Finals, based on the number of lanes at the site of the Finals competition.</u> <u>3. 1500 meters. The top three (3) finishers in each heat (assuming two heats) shall automatically qualify to the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship Finals. Six (6) other qualifiers shall be determined on the basis of the next fastest times recorded by the other athletes. If fifteen (15) or fewer runners report to the clerk, the 1500m will be moved to a final-only event. The total number of qualifiers shall be twelve (12).</u> <u>4. Steeplechase. Shall be contested on the day of the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional</u> 			

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p><u>Championship Finals.</u></p> <p>5. <u>5,000 meters. Shall be contested as a final only on the day of the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship Finals.</u></p> <p>6. <u>10,000 meters. Shall be contested as a final only on the day of the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship Trials.</u></p> <p>7. <u>Field Events. The combined top twelve (12) finishers from each flight shall qualify to the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship Finals. Ties for twelfth place shall not be broken.</u></p> <p><u>C. An athlete or relay team that qualifies for the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship Finals is automatically entered, unless the coach of the athlete/relay team contacts the Nor-Cal Track and Field Chair and makes the appropriate scratch.</u></p> <p><u>D. Scratches: A coach may scratch, from one or more events, an athlete who had either qualified during the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship Trials, or who had previously qualified in a final only event for the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship Finals. The deadline to submit a scratch(s) is fifteen (15) minutes after the completion of the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship Trials.</u></p> <p><u>E. Alternates. If an athlete is scratched from an event immediately after the conclusion of the CCCAA Track & Field Northern California Regional Championship Trials, the Nor-Cal Track & Field Chair or his/her designee will notify the coach of the athlete with the next best mark on the alternate list. The alternate list will be based on the order of finish for each event at the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship Trials.</u></p> <p><u>F. Deadline for Late Substitutions</u></p>			

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p>1. <u>After the initial scratch period has expired fifteen (15) minutes after the CCCAA Track & Field Northern California Regional Championship Trials, late substitutions will only be allowed if a competitor is scratched from all events that she/he is entered in the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Championship.</u></p> <p>2. <u>The deadline for making a substitution shall be prior to the start of the competition on the day the specific event is scheduled.</u></p> <p>3. <u>The Northern California Track and Field Chairperson must be notified immediately of any scratch. The chairperson will then attempt to contact the coach of the next best qualified athlete.</u></p> <p>4. <u>The Meet Manager must then be immediately notified of the substitution.</u></p> <p><u>2.3.2.1.3 Seeding of athletes into the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship Finals</u></p> <p><u>A. Lane Races. Lane assignments on an eight (8)-lane track shall be seeded from the best to the worst time according to the following formula: #4, #5, #3, #6, #2, #7, #1, #8. The formula for a nine (9)-lane track is: #5, #6, #4, #7, #3, #8, #2, #9, #1.</u></p> <p><u>B. 1500m. Shall be seeded from the worst mark (lane 1) out to the best mark.</u></p> <p><u>C. 5000m and 10,000m. Shall be seeded from the best mark (lane 1) out to the worst mark. Hip numbers shall be assigned from the fastest to the slowest qualifier. The fastest qualifier shall be assigned the number one and the slowest qualifier shall be assigned the highest number. All runners shall have a hip number attached to the side of each hip and to the front and back of their uniform top.</u></p> <p><u>D. Steeplechase. If fifteen (15) or fewer runners report to the clerk, the 1500m will be moved to a final only event. If</u></p>			

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p><u>sixteen (16) or more, the Games Committee shall divide the field into a slow and a fast section, wherever a natural break occurs.</u></p> <p><u>E. Field Events. For the initial round of three (3) attempts, the athletes shall be seeded from the worst to the best mark. After the first round, the top eight (8) or the top nine (9), based on the number of lanes on the track for the Finals, will then be reseeded. The order of competition will then be reset from the worst to the best mark, according to the best marks achieved during the initial round.</u></p> <p><u>2.3.2.1.4 Declaration of Athletes into the CCCAA Track and Field State Championships</u></p> <p><u>All athletes who qualify from the CCCAA Track and Field Northern California Regional Championship Finals to the CCCAA Track and Field State Championships are automatically declared unless scratched. In order to allow for alternates to be utilized, scratches should be made as soon as possible.</u></p>			
<p>COA-9-F09 Segmental Originator: Gary Bluth 5CTCA</p>	<p>Track and Field Championship Handbook 2.3.3.</p>	<p>2.3.3 CCCAA TRACK AND FIELD STATE CHAMPIONSHIP</p> <p>A. LANE EVENTS</p> <p>1. Qualifiers</p> <p>The first four (4) place winners from the respective CCCAA Northern and Southern California Regional meets shall qualify for the CCCAA Track and Field State Championship meet in the following events:</p> <p>Men’s: 100 meters, 200 meters, 110 meter high hurdles, 400 meter intermediate hurdles, 400 meters, 800 meters, 400 meter relay, and 1600 meter relay</p> <p>Women’s: 100 meters, 200 meters, 400 meters, 100-meter hurdles, 400 meter hurdles, 800 meters, 400-meter relay, and 1600 meter relay</p> <p>In cases of ties for the fourth qualifying position to the state meet, both contestants shall qualify unless a tie also</p>	<p>To eliminate automatic qualifying berths from either region that have led to sub-par performances at the State Championships</p>	<p>It will improve the quality of the competition at the State Championships by substituting minimum qualifying standards for automatic berths.</p> <p>Effective Date: July 1, 2010</p> <p>Constitution Committee: Filter okay. Recommend effective date July 1, 2010.</p> <p>Postconference Competition Review Committee: Filter okay.</p>	<p><i>For action at 2010 CCCAA Annual Convention</i></p>

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p>exists in that same event from the other regional meet. In that event, the Executive Board of the SCTCA shall determine the method of resolving a tie.</p> <p>2. Lane Assignments</p> <p>The meet manager and meet committee shall determine the lane assignments using the formulas below:</p> <p>Lane assignments for the following races will follow one of three (3) formulas: 4/5/07</p> <p>➤ 8 Lanes (fastest to slowest participants): #4, #5, #3, #6, #2, #7, #1, #8</p> <p>➤ 9 Lanes (fastest to slowest participants): #5, #6, #4, #7, #3, #8, #2, #9, #1</p> <p>➤ 10 Lanes (fastest to slowest participants): #5, #6, #4, #7, #3, #8, #2, #9, #1, #10</p> <p>These formulas apply to the: 100 meters, 200 meters, 800 meters, 100 meter hurdles, 110 meter high hurdles, 400 meter hurdles, 400 meter intermediate hurdles, 400 meter relays, 1600 meter relays.</p> <p>When the state meet site facility has more than eight (8) lanes, the additional empty lane(s) shall be filled by the fastest available place regional finisher(s). 4/5/07 This information will be posted on regional track and field websites.</p> <p>B. NON LANE EVENTS</p> <p>1. Qualifiers</p> <p>The first six (6) finishers from each regional shall qualify to the CCCAA Track and Field State Championship meet in the following events: 4/5/07</p> <p>➤ Men’s: 1500 meters, 3000 meter steeplechase, 5000 meters, and 10,000 meters 4/5/07</p> <p>➤ Women’s: 1500 meters, 3000 meter steeplechase,</p>			

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p style="text-align: center;">5000 meters, and 10,000 meters</p> <p>2. Seeding</p> <p>Random seeding (as determined by meet manager software) will be used for all running events from the 1500 meter and up.</p> <p>C. FIELD EVENTS</p> <p>1. Qualifiers</p> <p>The first six (6) finishers from each regional shall qualify to the CCCAA Track and Field State Championship meet in the following events: 4/5/07</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Men’s: pole vault, high jump, triple jump, long jump, shot put, discus, javelin, hammer throw, and decathlon. ➤ Women’s: pole vault, high jump, triple jump, long jump, shot put, discus, javelin, hammer throw, and heptathlon. 4/5/07 <p>In cases of ties for the sixth and final qualifying position, the NCAA tie-breaking procedures shall be used. In the high jump and pole vault, the sixth place tie shall be viewed as a first place tie in using the NCAA tie-breaking procedures so that only six (6) athletes in each event from each regional meet qualify. In the case of a tie for the final qualifying position, qualifying athletes for the tie breaker must report to the competition site and participate in the tie breaker. Failure to do so will disqualify the athlete from the tie breaker. 4/5/07</p> <p>2. Seeding</p> <p>The meet manager and meet committee shall determine the order of competition by the following formula:</p> <p>a. Order of Competition:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Competition order shall be arranged from worst to best in preliminary rounds regardless of region.</p>			

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p>b. Horizontal Jumps and Throwing Events:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Trials — All competitors are placed into one (1) flight of twelve (12) during the trials. They shall receive three (3) attempts each in the trials section of the competition. ➤ Finals — Following the trials, nine (9) athletes shall qualify to the final round and be awarded three (3) additional opportunities. Their order of competition shall be determined by their marks in the preliminary rounds with the last qualifier competing first and the first qualifier competing last. All athletes compete in one (1) flight during the finals. 4/5/07 <p>D. DECLARING ATHLETES — All athletes whose place finish in the regional qualifies them for the state championships are automatically declared unless scratched by 10:00AM on the Tuesday preceding the State Championship to the regional chairs and to the meet manager. 4/5/07</p> <p>E. DECLARING ALTERNATES — The deadline for declaring alternates to the CCCAA Track and Field State Championship meet from each regional meet shall be to the meet manager of the state meet no later than 12:00PM of the Tuesday preceding the state meet. 10/21/05</p> <p>F. An insert should be included in the CCCAA Track and Field State Championship program to reflect the entry changes to include the alternates</p> <p><u>A. CCCAA Track and Field State Championship Qualifying Standards</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>All advancing athletes must compete in a CCCAA Track and Field Regional Championship Final.</u> 2. <u>All advancing athletes, with the exception of regional champions, must have met or exceeded the CCCAA Track and Field State Championship Qualifying Standard</u> 			

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p><u>at some point during the official season.</u></p> <p>3. <u>Those qualifying standards shall be determined utilizing the Direct Athletics California Community College Performance List, utilizing the posted results up to, but not including, those from the CCCAA Track and Field State Championship Meet. The event specific qualifying standards shall be based on the following mathematical formulas:</u></p> <p>a. <u>Lane races (100, 200, 400, 800, 100/110m hurdles, 400 hurdles, 400 and 1,600 relays). The standard shall be the average 15th mark from the previous five (5) years.</u></p> <p>b. <u>Non-lane races (1,500, 5,000, 10,000, and steeplechase). The standard shall be the average 18th mark from the previous five (5) years.</u></p> <p>c. <u>Field events and multi-events. The standard shall be the average 18th mark from previous five (5) years.</u></p> <p>4. <u>If there are not enough athletes who have achieved a qualifying standard from both regions to fill a specific event, then a CCCAA Provisional Standard will be used. Those standards shall be determined utilizing the same procedure as described above (2.3.3.3. a., b., c.). However, the cut-off marks will be as follows:</u></p> <p>a. <u>Lane races (100, 200, 400, 800, 100/110m hurdles, 400 hurdles, 400, and 1,600 relays). The standard shall be the average 24th mark from the previous five (5) years.</u></p> <p>b. <u>Non-lane races (1,500, 5,000, 10,000, and steeplechase). The standard shall be the average 24th mark from the previous five (5) years.</u></p> <p>c. <u>Field events and multi-events. The standard shall be the average 24th mark from previous five (5) years.</u></p> <p>5. <u>Regional Champions are automatically entered into</u></p>			

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p><u>the CCCAA Track and Field State Championship Meet.</u></p> <p>6. <u>If either region is unable to fill its allotment of qualifying positions (four (4) in the lane races and six (6) in the non-lane races, multi-events, and field events), those open positions shall be filled by the opposing region, according to their place in the CCCAA Track and Field Regional Championship Final.</u></p> <p>7. <u>Preference for any contested position will:</u></p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">a. <u>Be awarded to the higher placing athlete at either of the CCCAA Track and Field Regional Championship Finals.</u></p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">b. <u>If the athletes from each region have the same finish place, the qualifying position shall be awarded to the athlete with the superior mark attained at the CCCAA Track and Field Regional Championship Finals.</u></p> <p>8. <u>If there is still one (1) or more remaining open position(s) in an event, that position(s) will be filled according to the same format described in 2.3.3.7.a-b. That is, preference will first be given to placement and then to performance.</u></p> <p>9. <u>If scratches occur, all replacements shall be evaluated following the criteria described in 2.3.3.7.a-b.</u></p> <p><u>B. CCCAA Track and Field State Championship Qualifying Standards Committee</u></p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">1. <u>Shall meet at the State Championships to assess the validity of the current standards and make changes if deemed necessary.</u></p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">2. <u>This committee shall be comprised of the following persons: 5CTCA Track and Field President, and 5CTCA President, 5CTCA Vice President, Northern California</u></p>			

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p><u>Track and Field Chairperson, and the Southern California Track and Field Chairperson.</u></p> <p>3. <u>This committee shall have the final authority on any changes made. All changes shall require a 4/5 majority vote by the committee members.</u></p> <p>C. <u>In the case of a tie for the last qualifying position in a CCCAA Track and Field Regional Championship Final, the following procedures shall be followed:</u></p> <p>1. <u>Lane and non-lane track events. The following sequence shall be followed:</u></p> <p>a. <u>Review the results and if possible, determine the outcome by going to the .001 of a second.</u></p> <p>b. <u>Refer back to the highest place in the CCCAA Track and Field Regional Championship Trials.</u></p> <p>c. <u>Refer back to the fastest time attained in the CCCAA Track and Field Regional Championship Trials.</u></p> <p>d. <u>Refer back to the seasonal best mark listed on Direct Athletics.</u></p> <p>2. <u>Pole Vault and High Jump</u></p> <p>a. <u>In the case of a tie for the final qualifying position, the competitors involved must participate in the tie breaking procedure immediately after the regular conclusion of that event. Failure to do so will result in a disqualification.</u></p> <p>b. <u>The tie shall be viewed as a first place tie according to the NCAA tie-breaking rule.</u></p> <p>D. <u>Seeding for the CCCAA Track and Field State Championship</u></p> <p>1. <u>Lane races. The lane assignments should be based upon the following formulas:</u></p> <p>a. <u>8 Lanes (fastest to slowest mark): #4, #5, #6, #2, #7,</u></p>			

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p>#1, #8</p> <p>b. <u>9 Lanes (fastest to slowest mark): #5, #6, #4, #7, #3, #8, #2, #9, #1</u></p> <p>c. <u>10 Lanes (fastest to slowest mark): #5, #6, #4, #7, #3, #8, #2, #9, #1, #10</u></p> <p><u>When the CCCAA Track and Field State Championship site has more than eight (8) lanes, the additional lane(s) shall be filled with the next highest ranking qualifier.</u></p> <p><u>This information will be posted on the regional track and field websites.</u></p> <p><u>2. Non-lane Races:</u></p> <p>a. <u>1500 meters - shall be from worst to best, starting with the athlete with the slowest mark assigned to Lane 1.</u></p> <p>b. <u>5000, 10,000, and 3,000 Steeplechase – shall be from the best to the worst, starting with the fastest mark in Lane 1.</u></p> <p>c. <u>Horizontal Jumps and Throwing Events:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ <u>Trials – All competitors shall be seeded from the worst to the best. Each competitor shall be allowed three (3) attempts.</u> ➤ <u>Finals – Eight (8) or nine (9) athletes shall qualify from the trials, depending on the available lanes on the track and be awarded three (3) more attempts. All marks earned in the trials move to the finals. The order of competition shall be based on the trials results, using a worst to best format.</u> ➤ <u>Vertical Jumps (pole vault and high jump) - shall be seeded from the worst to the best. That is the competitor with the best mark will compete last.</u> <p><u>E. Deadline for Declaring Athletes – shall be the Tuesday prior to the CCCAA Track and Field Championship at 6:00PM.</u></p>			

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p><u>F. Deadline for Substitutions</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The deadline for making a substitution shall be prior to the start of the competition on the day the specific event is scheduled.</u> <u>2. Substitutions will only be allowed if a competitor is scratched from all events that she/he is entered in the CCCAA Track and Field State Championship.</u> <u>3. The regional chairperson must be notified immediately of any scratch. That chairperson will then contact the chairperson from the opposite region and they will decide which athlete from either region should be entered into the meet.</u> <u>4. The alternate must be the next qualified competitor regardless of region based on her/his best mark in their respective CCCAA Track and Field Regional Championships.</u> <u>5. Races or flights will not be re-seeded, as the substitute athlete will fill in the scratched athlete’s vacated position.</u> <u>6. The Meet Manager must then be immediately notified of the substitution.</u> 			
<p>COA-10-F09 Segmental Originator: Laurie Nash CCCWVCA</p>	<p>Women’s Volleyball Championship Handbook 2.3.1.G</p>	<p><u>G. Seeding for automatic qualifiers and for determining "At-large" berths into the regional playoff brackets shall be based on the criteria listed below. These criteria appear in priority order and are to be weighted considered when seeding. "Weighted" means that significant results in a lower category may alter the priority order. When the highest criteria is met, the ranked position is determined within the grouping as defined in section 2.3.1.F Protocol. No further criteria thereafter needs to be considered.</u></p> <p><u>Season results must be submitted on the Season Result Form to be considered for regional playoffs. (See Appendix B of this handbook.)</u></p> <p><u>If season records and match results are not available for any</u></p>	<p>To better define the seeding criteria for postconference competition.</p>	<p>Currently, the order of criteria is confusing. Results against playoff teams are not explained specifically to what region is being determined and how to compare those teams. The number of matches played in comparison to another team does not determine the value of the results. These changes are needed to clarify and better represent the selection process for postconference competition.</p> <p>Effective Date: Immediate</p> <p>Constitution Committee: Filter okay: Recommend effective date July 1, 2010.</p>	<p>APPROVED COA BOARD 10/30/09</p>

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p><u>given team at the time of the seeding meeting, said team(s) shall risk being seeded lower.</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Head to head competition Dual matches – best of 5 <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>Best of 5</u> b. <u>Best of 3</u> c. <u>Single set</u> 2. Common opponents Dual matches – best of 5 <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>Best of 5</u> b. <u>Best of 3</u> c. <u>Single set</u> 3. Head to head competition <u>Overall results - best of 5</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Tournament playoff – best of 3 <u>For determining the appropriate seed of each regional playoff team, results against all regional playoff teams from within respective regions shall be used.</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. 1. <u>Once a grouping has been determined, results against the highest seeded team shall be used to determine the seed.</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Once a team has been identified as having defeated a higher seeded team, no further evaluation needs to be considered. The seeds are finalized.</u> b. Tournament Pool Play c. Tournament playoff – 1 game 4. Season record <u>Highest Tournament finish</u> 5. <u>Administer a coin toss to break the tie.</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. When comparing two (2) teams, the team that has 		<p>Postconference Competition Review Committee: Filter okay.</p>	

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p>played the greatest number of best of 5 matches shall be seeded higher than a team who has played fewer best of 5 matches.</p> <p>b. Overall results</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">1. For determining at large teams, results against all playoff teams who submit a Season Result Form for a playoff berth.</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">2. For determining the appropriate seed of each regional playoff team, results against all regional playoff teams shall be used.</p> <p>5. Common Opponents</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">a. Tournament playoff – best of 3</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">b. Tournament pool play</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">e. Tournament playoff – 1 game</p> <p>6. Tournament finish</p> <p>7. Season results must be submitted on the Season Result Forms (See Appendix B of this handbook.)</p> <p>8. If season records and match results are not available for any given team at the time of the seeding meeting, said team(s) shall risk being seeded lower.</p>			
<p>COA-11-F09 Segmental</p> <p>Originator: Chris Oeding CCCWPCA</p>	<p>Water Polo Championship Handbook 2.3.2.B</p>	<p>B. Seeding and Challenge Formula for the CCCAA Southern California Regional Tournament.</p> <p>1. Fifty (50) percent for head to head competition. A score of up to plus or minus three (3) points will be counted for the winning team and for the losing team. This shall be for the number of times the teams have met.</p> <p>Example:</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Cuesta 11, Modesto 10 = Cuesta +1, Modesto -1</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Cuesta 12, Modesto 9 = Cuesta +3, Modesto -3</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Cuesta 8, Modesto 10 = Cuesta -2, Modesto +2</p>	<p>The proposal will assist in more fairly seeding the Southern California Regional Championship.</p>	<p>The current Southern California Regional Seeding Formula is ambiguous and does not explicitly explain how to break a three-way challenge. This new formula clarifies the ambiguity.</p> <p>Effective Date: Immediate</p> <p>Constitution Committee: Filter okay. Recommend effective date July 1, 2010.</p> <p>Postconference Competition Review Committee: Filter okay.</p>	<p>APPROVED COA BOARD 10/30/09</p>

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p>Cuesta +2, Modesto -2</p> <p>2. Fifty (50) percent for all games played with common opponents of up to plus or minus three (3) points which shall be used for determining goal difference between all common opponents. The total goal difference is to be divided by the number of games involved.</p> <p>Example:</p> <p>Cuesta 8 Golden West 6 (+2)</p> <p>Cuesta 10 Ventura 8 (+2)</p> <p>Cuesta 8 Orange Coast 11 (- 3)</p> <p>Cuesta 15 West Valley 3 (+3)</p> <p>Modesto 6 Golden West 9 (- 3 max.)</p> <p>Modesto 9 Ventura 7 (+2)</p> <p>Modesto 10 Orange Coast 11 (- 1)</p> <p>Modesto 7 West Valley 3 (+3)</p> <p>Cuesta +4, Modesto +1</p> <p>3. If common opponents have met more than one (1) time, then add point totals and divide by number of games played.</p> <p>Example:</p> <p>Cuesta 5 Long Beach 10 (- 5)</p> <p>Cuesta 6, Long Beach 5 (+1)</p> <p>Cuesta 7 Long Beach 9 (- 2)</p> <p>Cuesta (- 6) ÷ 3 games = (- 2)</p> <p>Modesto 5 Long Beach 10 (- 5)</p> <p>Modesto 10 Long Beach 6 (+4)</p> <p>Modesto (- 1) ÷ 2 games = (- ½)</p> <p>4. Total points from head to head and common opponents (coop):</p> <p>Example:</p>			

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS																				
		<p>Cuesta Modesto</p> <p>+2 (head to head) -2 (head to head)</p> <p>+4 (coop) +1 (coop)</p> <p>-2 (coop) -½ (coop)</p> <p>+4 Total -1½ Total</p> <p>5. Cuesta seeded ahead of Modesto.</p> <p><u>How to Qualify</u></p> <p><u>1. If a team is ahead of all three (3) teams by either head-to-head competition, or common opponents that team shall win that seed.</u></p> <p><u>2. If two (2) of the teams are 2-1 and two (2) are 1-2. The teams with the 1-2 record are out and the remaining two go against each other by head-to-head, or common opponents. The winner will get the seed.</u></p> <p><u>3. If three (3) of the teams are 3-1 and one (1) team is 0-3 the 0-3 team is out and the other teams must see who is ahead by head-to-head competition, or common opponents. The remaining three (3) teams will challenge each other by either head-to-head or by common opponents. The team with the lowest total is out, the other two (2) teams go head-to-head, and the winner wins the seed.</u></p> <p><u>Example</u></p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="border-bottom: 1px solid black;">TeamA</td> <td style="border-bottom: 1px solid black;">TeamB</td> <td style="border-bottom: 1px solid black;">TeamC</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>vsTeamB +1</td> <td>vsTeamA -1.00</td> <td>vsTeamA +.50</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>vsTeamC -.5</td> <td>vsTeamC +.66 c/opponent</td> <td>vsTeamB -.66</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>c/opponent</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">Total</td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">+.5</td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">-.33</td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">-.16</td> </tr> </table> <p><u>Team B is out lowest score they our out. Team C wins the seed they are ahead of team A</u></p> <p><u>How to get a Head to Head Score (Head to Head is worth 50%):</u></p>	TeamA	TeamB	TeamC		vsTeamB +1	vsTeamA -1.00	vsTeamA +.50		vsTeamC -.5	vsTeamC +.66 c/opponent	vsTeamB -.66				c/opponent		Total	+.5	-.33	-.16			
TeamA	TeamB	TeamC																							
vsTeamB +1	vsTeamA -1.00	vsTeamA +.50																							
vsTeamC -.5	vsTeamC +.66 c/opponent	vsTeamB -.66																							
		c/opponent																							
Total	+.5	-.33	-.16																						

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p>Team A _____ Team B _____</p> <p>Team B +1.00 Team A -1.00</p> <p>Common Opponent +2.33 Common Opponent -.66</p> <p>3.33 divided by 2 +1.66 -1.66 divided by 2 -.83</p> <p><u>Team A wins the seed</u></p> <p><u>How to get a Common Opponent score (Common Opponent is worth 50%):</u></p> <p><u>Take only the teams you have in common with the team you're challenging. (If you have played a team more than once get an average.) This is based on a plus three (+3) minus three (-3) range for each game.</u></p> <p>Team A _____ Team B _____</p> <p>Team C +2 Team C -2</p> <p>Team H +3, (+3, +3) Team H +1, (+1, +3, -1)</p> <p>Team J +2 Team J -1</p> <p>Total +7 -2</p> <p><u>Divide by the number of games played: Team A is a +2.33. Team B is a -.66. Team A wins the seed.</u></p> <p><u>Tie Breaker</u></p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">a. <u>Most wins against higher seeded teams</u></p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">b. <u>Coin flip (In case of a three (3)-way tie, odd team out, then head-to-head.)</u></p> <p>64. Conference finish supersedes formula. No team can be seeded ahead of a team which finished ahead of them in the conference.</p> <p>75. Only community college competition shall be used when applying the formula.</p>			

POLICY ITEMS—AMENDMENTS

P-12-F09	Bylaw 1.3.7	1.3.7 By the start of the second season of competition <u>end</u>	To provide for an earlier	Currently, an IEP is not required until prior to	<i>For action at</i>
-----------------	-------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------------------------------	----------------------

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
Policy Originator: Carlyle Carter COA Board		<p><u>of the first full-time term of enrollment</u> at a California community college, the college shall certify that the student athlete has a comprehensive individual educational plan on file.</p>	start on fulfilling individual educational plans.	the second season of sport which for spring sport athletes means that three semesters of attendance can elapse without having an educational plan in place. Effective Date: July 1, 2010 Constitution Committee: Filter okay. Competition Committee: Filter okay. Recommend approval.	2010 CCCAA Annual Convention
P-13-F09 Policy Originator: Carlyle Carter COA Board	Bylaw 1.6	<p>1.6 Eligibility for Continuing Competition</p> <p>1.6.1 Once a student competes in any scheduled game, meet or match, as defined in Bylaw 1.15, the student must complete and pass 24-semester/36-quarter units, <u>accumulating a minimum of nine (9) units with a minimum 2.0 grade point average, prior to the official start date of the second full-time term of attendance,</u> to be eligible for the second season of that sport. Furthermore:</p> <p>A. No change., B. No change., C. No change., D. No change.</p> <p>E. In addition to the 24-semester/36-quarter unit requirement, the student must also have a cumulative 2.0 grade point average in course work completed for credit at accredited postsecondary institutions. This grade point average must include all courses taken since the start of the term of the first competition in any COA-sanctioned sport, with the exception of course work where substandard grades (D, F) were forgiven through course repetition or academic renewal per regulations of Title V.’</p> <p>F. <u>Prior to the fourth (4th) full-time semester/quarter, students must have accumulated a minimum 36-semester/48-quarter units with a cumulative 2.0 grade point average in course work completed for credit at accredited postsecondary institutions. This grade point average must include all courses taken since the start of the term of the first competition in any COA-sanctioned sports, with the</u></p>	To require an academic progress minimum standard following the first full-time term of attendance.	Currently there are no minimum standards in place during the first year of full-time attendance. Students enrolled FT in the fall may continue to remain eligible to participate in CCC intercollegiate athletics throughout the year. First-year spring sport student-athletes are not required to meet any academic standard and may be eligible to compete. This sends the wrong message and is incongruous with one of tenets as set forth in the COA/CCCAA Article 1.4 <i>Values – Academic Success</i> : The COA requires that student athletes maintain satisfactory progress toward an educational goal and good academic standing in their college. Effective Date: July 1, 2010. Constitution Committee: Filter okay. The Constitution Committee recommends adding language to determine when an athlete becomes eligible/ineligible based on official recording of grades by institution. Competition Committee: Filter okay. Clarification needed regarding application to full-time, first year students. What about the spring sport athlete who enrolls for the first time in the spring? How would it then apply	For action at 2010 CCCAA Annual Convention

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<u>exception of course work where substandard grades (D, F) were forgiven through course repetition or academic renewal per regulation of Title V.</u>		to that student who participates in a 2 nd sport that starts in the fall?	
P-14-F09 Policy \$ Originator: Shanan Rosenberg CCCMBCA/C CCWBCA	Bylaws 3.5.2 and 3.11	3.5.2 The first day of COA-allowable practice is as follows: October 15 <u>1</u> – basketball 3.11 Practice/scrimmage may begin: October 15 <u>1</u> (See Bylaw 3.5.1)	October 1 starting date is consistent with the NJCAA start date and the NCAA live Fall recruiting period. This date will allow teams to schedule scrimmage/s that can be scheduled during the primary NCAA recruiting period – thus enhancing the visibility and recruitment of our student-athletes, and ultimately increase the transfer and scholarship potential for our student-athletes.	Our priority as California Community Colleges should be to give our student-athletes the best possible chance to transfer to a 4-year institution. By moving the season start date to Oct. 1 we enable our teams to play a pre-season scrimmage/s that will enhance visibility and recruitment of our student-athletes. Ultimately increasing transfer opportunities for our student-athletes. This recruiting period is a vital time for our student-athletes to be observed by 4-year coaches at all competitive levels. NCAA institutions can only view our team scrimmages if they appear on our “official” schedule. As our start currently stands we have only one week in which the NCAA institutions can view our student-athletes in competitive contests with other colleges. By pushing the start date forward by two weeks and adding a scrimmage date we give our student-athletes the best possible chance to be seen by 4-year universities. Since scrimmages are optional, schools have a choice to utilize this opportunity. And since all scrimmages are essentially cost-free there is no added cost for participating institutions. Effective Date: July 1, 2010 Constitution Committee: Filter okay. Finance Committee: Filter okay. There may be some concern regarding facility usage and staff time. Committee does not	<i>For action at 2010 CCCAA Annual Convention</i>

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
				<p>recommend approval.</p> <p>Competition Committee: Filter okay. Concern noted regarding conflict of increased costs and cost containment efforts. Recommend shifting entire season accordingly. Recommend approval.</p>	
<p>P-16-F09</p> <p>Policy</p> <p>Originator: Gary Bluth 5CTCA</p>	<p>Bylaw 3.16.D Track & Field</p>	<p>D. For the sport of Track and Field, one (1) date of participation is permissible in <u>one (1) or more</u> of the following events: USATF Jr. Nationals, USATF Outdoor Track and Field Championships, <u>World Track & Field Championships</u>, <u>and/or</u> the Olympic Trials. (<i>Adopted & effective October 19, 2007.</i>)</p>	<p>Allows maximum exposure of a California Community College athlete in the world arena by adding the World Championships.</p>	<p>Needed to eliminate “one date” to allow competition in more than one national and /or world event in a given year.</p> <p>Effective Date: July 1, 2010</p> <p>Constitution Committee: Filter okay. Competition Committee: Filter okay. Recommend approval.</p>	<p><i>For action at 2010 CCCAA Annual Convention</i></p>
<p>P-17-F09</p> <p>Policy</p> <p>Originator: Carlyle Carter COA Board</p>	<p>Bylaws 6.8.2 and 6.12.2</p>	<p>6.8.2 The event manager will be the athletic director of the host institution unless otherwise designated by the MC CCCAA MC Sport Representative, Coaches Association President, and Office of the CCCAA. <u>The host institution athletic director or designee will be responsible for the administration and supervision of all aspects of the postconference competition event held on, or hosted by, his/her campus. The event manager must be in attendance to provide leadership and expedite all concerns under COA/CCCAA guidelines.</u></p> <p>6.12.2 The president of the host site institution shall designate the athletic administrator, or other appropriate college administrator/director knowledgeable of COA rules, who <u>The event manager will be the athletic director of the host institution unless otherwise designated by the CCCAA MC Sport Representative, Coaches Association President, and Office of the CCCAA.</u> <u>The host institution athletic director or designee will be responsible for the administration and supervision of all aspects of the postconference competition event held on, or hosted by, his/her campus. The designee event manager must be in attendance to provide leadership and expedite all concerns under COA/CCCAA guidelines.</u></p>	<p>To make the language about designation of the PC Event Manager consistent in both sections of Bylaw 6.</p>	<p>There are currently two different sections in Bylaw 6 naming two different positions responsible for designating CCCAA postconference competition event manager.</p> <p>Effective Date: July 1, 2010</p> <p>Constitution Committee: Filter okay. Postconference Competition Review Committee: Filter okay.</p>	<p><i>For action at 2010 CCCAA Annual Convention</i></p>

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
<p>P-18-F09</p> <p>Policy</p> <p>\$</p> <p>Originator: Joe Early CCCFA</p>	<p>Bylaw 8.1.2.1.A Football</p>	<p>A. There shall be a \$10,000 cap for each sport account, except the Football bowls Account shall have a \$20,000 cap. In January and July, all balances over the cap shall be transferred to the Sports Augmentation Account as designated by the COA Board.</p> <p><u>1. For the sport of football, a state championship game reimbursement fund will be created from the profits realized by the COA from postconference bowl/playoff games. This reimbursement fund will be used to reimburse participants in the state championship game, in addition to all other allowable sources of reimbursement funds. If this reimbursement fund does not cover all allowable reimbursement expenses of each participating team, the \$20,000 football account will be used to cover the shortfall.</u></p>	<p>To eliminate the word <u>Bowl</u> from the Football Bowl Account and have it titled Football Account.</p> <p>To use profits from football playoff games to help reimburse all allowable expenses of participating colleges in the State Championship football game.</p>	<p>The postconference account for football should not only include income from bowls but also income from playoff games. Therefore the fund should be renamed Football Account.</p> <p>Colleges that have participated in the State Championship football game have had to bear tremendous expenses particularly associated to travel costs. Sponsorships for the State Game have been unreliable and inadequate. But while the participating teams have had to bear major costs, the COA has been realizing a profit from the other postconference bowl/playoff games. These profits have not been allowed to be used to cover the expenses of the state championship game. This provision would allow the profits from bowl/playoff games that the COA receives to be deposited into a reimbursement fund specifically used for the state championship game.</p> <p>\$ If this legislation is passed, it will provide a mechanism by which participating colleges can adequately be reimbursed for the expenses associated with participating in the State Championship football game. The exact dollar amount depends on the location of the game and distances traveled by each team. However, participating colleges will undoubtedly save several thousands of dollars that they were not being reimbursed for previously.</p> <p>Effective Date: July 1, 2010</p> <p>Constitution Committee: Filter okay. Concern was noted that this would be in conflict with the existing language for all</p>	<p><i>For action at 2010 CCCAA Annual Convention</i></p>

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
				<p>sports.</p> <p>Finance Committee: Filter okay. Committee does not recommend approval. Committee to review postconference competition for reimbursement.</p> <p>Postconference Competition Review Committee: Filter okay. Committee does not recommend approval.</p>	
<p>P-19-F09</p> <p>Policy</p> <p>\$</p> <p>Originator: Joe Early CCCFA</p>	<p>Bylaw 8.1.3.11.4.D Football</p>	<p>8.1.3.11.4.D</p> <p>D. The allowed reimbursement for transportation to and from bowl games sites, <u>playoff games sites, and the State Championship game site</u> will be at the mileage rate stated in “C” above, or the cost for the use of two (2) 47-passenger busses. This choice will be determined by negotiation between the participating teams and the bowl game sponsor.</p>	<p>This change will allow for colleges to be adequately reimbursed for the travel costs associated with transporting their football teams to postconference contests.</p>	<p>The present reimbursement policy for transportation costs of an intercollegiate football team is totally unrealistic, as presented in Bylaw 8.1.3.11.4. Given the size of the traveling party (80), it is necessary to transport football teams in busses. All other sports generally can be transported in vans. The present reimbursement provided for in section 8.1.3.11.4 C may be adequate for van transportation but is totally inadequate for bus transportation. A survey of bus mileage transportation rates in the north and south regions of the state vary from \$4.25 to .4.50 a mile. Transportation rates for vans vary in the north and south from \$0.25 to \$0.40.</p> <p>Research into past legislation reveals that in October of 1998, a transportation reimbursement policy was adopted and slated to go into effect in July 1999. The intent and spirit of the rule change at the time was for any post conference football game, not just bowl games, to be reimbursed for two 47-passenger busses. (The previous policy allowed for reimbursement for one 72-passenger bus and 3 vans. This was totally unrealistic because there were few if any 72-passenger</p>	<p><i>For action at 2010 CCCAA Annual Convention</i></p>

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
				<p>busses.) The change was overwhelming supported by the other COA sport groups because it was a case of fairness.</p> <p>In 2000 Bylaw 8.1.3.11.4 was modified to read as it does now. It is not known who the originator was or what the rationale for the change was. However, teams continued being reimbursed for the cost of the use of 2-47 passenger busses until 2006. From 2006 to the present, without any new legislation, the COA office started reimbursing football teams at the van rate, not the approved bus rate (2-47 passenger buses).</p> <p>\$ If this legislation is passed, participating colleges will not have to bear the burden of finding money to pay the transportation costs. This would be a tremendous benefit to participating colleges. Presently, the van rate which is being used to reimburse colleges is totally inadequate for football and only meets a fraction of the actual cost. The cost for the use of 2-47 passenger busses for each participating college would be shifted from the college to the sponsor and COA game profits. It is difficult to determine actual dollar amounts since the expense is affected by the distance traveled by each team. However the cost saving to participating colleges would be from \$1000-\$2000 range.</p> <p>Effective Date: July 1, 2010</p> <p>Constitution Committee: Filter okay. Concern was noted that this would be in conflict with the existing language for all sports.</p>	

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
				Finance Committee: Filter okay. Committee does not recommend approval. Committee to review policy for postconference competition reimbursement. Postconference Competition Review Committee: Filter okay.	
POLICY ITEMS—2ND READING <i>(Need 2/3 vote majority of approval of delegates at CCCAA Convention)</i>					
P-1-S09 Policy 2nd Reading Originator: Diane Henry Gender Equity Committee	Article 1.5.4.G Appendix B Form R-1 Form R-4	<p>G. Compliance with state and federal Title IX and laws pertaining to equitable opportunities for men and women. <i>(Adopted: April 6, 2007; Effective August 1, 2009)</i></p> <p><u>Form R-4 (Appendix B):</u> <u>Form R-4 will be reviewed annually by the COA Board or designate. Colleges not satisfying Title IX Test 1, 2, or 3 will be deemed “not in good standing” and, therefore, be placed on probation with recommendations from the COA Board or designate. If colleges deemed on probation by the COA Board fail to demonstrate progress toward the recommendations, they will be ineligible for postconference competition until remedied.</u></p> <p>Appendix B, Form R-1: The athletic administrators of our college have reviewed the contents, interpretations, and implications of the current Commission on Athletics <u>COA/CCCAA Constitution and Bylaws</u> with our staff, employees, representatives, and each person who works with the athletic program, with additional emphasis on rules of recruitment, eligibility, decorum, and sport seasons. <u>In addition, the college has completed a Statement of Compliance of Title IX Gender Equity (Form R-4) regarding state and federal Title IX laws pertaining to equitable resources and benefits interests and abilities for men and women for the preceding year.</u> We affirm that our representatives shall adhere to its rules and regulations. We understand that violations of the Commission on Athletics <u>COA/CCCAA Constitution and Bylaws</u></p>	To comply with state and federal laws of Title IX.	<p>The COA/CCCAA has an interest in providing equitable opportunity for sport participation/competition for its colleges. By requiring Form R-4, schools will be mandated to review annual progress in compliance with Title IX (state and federal laws) so they can be in good standing with the COA/CCCAA.</p> <p>Gender Equity Impact: Change will provide data regarding gender equity annually and allow school administrators to address gender equity requirements.</p> <p>Effective Date: July 1, 2010</p> <p>Constitution Committee: Filter okay.</p> <p>Competition Committee: Filter okay. 2nd Read: Filter okay. Recommend that form state that it be postmarked by November 1. Recommend approval.</p> <p>Management Council: Recommended approval as amended 4/2/09.</p>	<p><i>For action at 2010 CCCAA Annual Convention</i></p>

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		may subject our college and/or personnel to penalties: Appendix B, Form R-4 (New Form): <i>(To view full-size form, see end of this Notice.)</i>			
OPERATIONAL ITEMS—AMENDMENTS					
There were no operational items proposed.					
SEGMENTAL ITEMS					
S-20-F09 Segmental \$ Originator: Flo Luppani CCCWBCA	Basketball Championship Handbook Women's- 1.5.2.4	<p><u>1.5.2.4 In the sport of Women's Basketball, the host team for all regional quarterfinal, semi-final, and final games shall provide statistics (shot attempts and makes, free throw attempts and makes, offensive and defensive rebounds, assists, steals, turnovers) of the event to both the host and visiting teams. These statistics shall be provided at half-time and at the conclusion of the contest. Immediately after the event, the host institution shall forward those statistics to the appropriate statistical web site (i.e: JCSCORES) as approved by the CCCWBCA.</u></p> <p><u>In the event the host team does not have qualified personnel to compile the games statistics, the host school shall inform the visiting school. The host institution may hire the visiting team statistician, or another qualified statistician, to provide such said game statistics.</u></p>	This proposal will continue the effort to host first class postconference competition events. Statistics are a needed scouting and reporting tool. Women's Basketball has used a statistical web site with extremely good success for a few years and reporting post-season statistics will improve the visibility of our sport.	Women's Basketball has successfully used a statistical website for years, and at the State Tournament statistics of every contest are compiled and distributed to coaches and media members. Providing statistics for regional quarterfinal, semifinal, and final games will bring those postconference contests in line with the state championship. Effective Date: July 1, 2010 Constitution Committee: Filter okay. Concern that paragraph two contradicts paragraph one. Recommend eliminating second paragraph. Finance Committee: Filter okay. Committee recommends approval of first paragraph. Committee concurs with Constitution Committee to recommend striking second paragraph. Postconference Competition Review Committee: Filter okay.	<i>For action at 2010 CCCAA Annual Convention</i>
S-21-F09 Segmental Originator:	Cross Country Championship Handbook 2.3.1	CHAMPIONSHIP SELECTION (See Qualifying Procedures, 2.3.) 2.3 QUALIFYING PROCEDURES	Clarify wording.	Clarify wording. Effective Date: July 1, 2010 Constitution Committee: Filter okay.	<i>For action at 2010 CCCAA Annual</i>

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
Pam Kelley 5CTCA		<p>2.3.1 CCCAA CROSS COUNTRY REGIONAL QUALIFYING—NORTH</p> <p>2.3.1.1 TEAMS</p> <p>A. A minimum of 18 teams will qualify. Each conference will qualify the top half of the teams competing, plus one (1) additional team of the colleges scoring in the conference meet. Conferences with odd numbers of scoring teams will round up to determine the qualifying teams. Each team may have up to a maximum of seven (7) runners at the regional championship.</p> <p>B. In addition, at least two (2) at large teams will be chosen. If fewer than 18 teams qualify through the procedure listed in 1, then the following criteria will be used to determine the additional colleges to create a field of 18:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Placement in the conference championship meet; note, the At large Seeding Committee shall not advance a team to the regionals that finished lower in its conference than any other non-advancing team. 2. Overall evaluation of the invitational meet results, with weighted consideration to later season invitationals. 3. Overall season record, with weighted consideration to later season head to head competition. <p>C. The At large Seeding Committee will consist of one (1) representative per conference and a chair assigned by the Northern California chairperson.</p> <p>2.3.1.2 INDIVIDUALS</p> <p>Individuals not on a qualifying team advance to the regionals if they finish in the top 50 percent of the finishers in their conference championship meet. If a non-qualifying team does not have a finisher in the top 50 percent, then that team will be allowed one individual qualifier. That individual must be a finisher in his or her conference championship meet.</p>		Postconference Competition Review Committee: Filter okay.	<i>Convention</i>

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p><u>2.3.1.1</u></p> <p>A. <u>The top half of the teams competing in a conference meet, plus one additional team from that conference, shall advance to the CCCAA Northern California Regional Cross Country Championship. A conference with an odd number of teams shall round up to determine the total number of qualifiers. For example, a seven (7)-team conference will advance five (5) teams. A team is comprised of a minimum of five (5) and a maximum of seven (7) runners.</u></p> <p>B. <u>If fewer than eighteen (18) teams qualify utilizing the procedure described in 2.3.1.1.A, then, the following criteria shall be used by the Northern California Cross Country At-Large Seeding Committee to fill the field to a possible total of eighteen 18 teams:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Placement in the conference championship meet.</u> 2. <u>Overall evaluation of invitational meet results, with a weighted emphasis on the most current results.</u> <p>C. <u>The Northern California Cross Country At-Large Seeding Committee shall consist of one (1) representative from each conference, plus the Northern California Cross Country Chairperson or his/her designee.</u></p>			
<p>S-22-F09 Segmental Originator: Jim Sartoris CCCFCA</p>	<p>Football Championship Handbook 2.3.3</p>	<p>2.3.3 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA SEEDING Playoff/bowl game participants will be selected and seeded using the following process:</p> <p>A. The champions and the second place finishers of the National Division conferences (the National-Northern, the National-Central, the National-Southern) and the champions of the American Division conferences (the American Mountain and the American Pacific) and the next five (5) highest ranked teams in the final CCCFCA/COA poll will compete in an eight (8)-team playoff to determine the Southern California regional champion to advance to the state championship game versus the Northern California regional champion. (Also stated in CCCAA Football</p>	<p>To modify the present playoff system to meet the postconference mission statement as stated in Bylaw 6 - the goal being to have the very best teams participate in the playoff system.</p>	<p>By the very nature of the competitive format which is the basis for the two-division SCFA structure (National conferences being the stronger teams - American conference being more of the developing and emerging programs) - The three National Division Conference champions have proven on the field of play that they are the very best teams. And in keeping with the philosophy of providing access to all SCFA members to the playoffs - the at-large team(s) will be selected from the CCCFCA/COA final poll, which is a measure of the relative strength and achievement during the season for all</p>	<p><i>For action at 2010 CCCAA Annual Convention</i></p>

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p>Championship Handbook Section 1.4.3 CCCAA Southern California Football Playoff Format.)</p> <p>B. For purposes of the selection process, all teams must have a winning record. A winning record is defined as more wins than losses in a team’s overall season record. (A 5 win – 5 loss record is not considered a winning record.) Teams with a .500 overall season record would not qualify to be in the playoff format. (4/1/08</p> <p>C. If, through the above selection process, there are teams that do not qualify because they do not have a winning record, “at large” selections shall be made using the Final CCCAA/CCCFCA football poll. 4/1/08</p> <p>D. B. Once teams are selected for the four (4) playoff bowls and are placed into the brackets, (see Appendix A), the bowl game committee will designate the first-round bowl sites. The eight (8) teams that have been selected from the above selection process will be seeded according to their final ranking in the CCCFCA/CCCAA Poll. Teams will be seeded one (1) through eight (8) with the highest ranked team seeded #1, and 2nd highest ranked team seeded #2, etc., until all eight (8) brackets are filled.</p> <p>E. C. Additional at-large teams will be chosen to fill out the remaining non-playoff bowls using the final CCCAA/CCCFCA poll.</p> <p>F. D. After the playoff teams are selected and the playoff bowl sites have been assigned, the highest ranked non-playoff teams will be selected to participate in the remaining bowl games. The final CCCAA/CCCFCA poll standings will be used to match teams up in these non-playoff bowls. the two highest ranked teams will play each other and then the next highest ranked teams will play each other, etc. However, in order to reduce expenses of travel, lodging, and meals and to enhance competition, the bowl committee can adjust pairings by adjusting rankings by no more than two (2) positions up or down.</p>		<p>37 member colleges. No college can say it is being deprived of an opportunity to qualify for the playoffs.</p> <p>Effective Date: July 1, 2010</p> <p>Constitution Committee: Filter okay</p> <p>Postconference Competition Review Committee: Filter okay.</p>	

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p>Additionally, the bowl committee may use the “common sense” policy to enhance the match ups for these non-playoff bowls.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>If either American Division conference champions (American Mountain Conference and American Pacific Conference) fail to qualify for the playoffs, they will participate in an American Division Championship Bowl Game.</u> <p>G. E. For purposes of tie-breaking in the selection process or in the seeding process, the following criteria will be used in order presented until tie is broken:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Head-to-head competition. 2. Winning percentage vs. common opponents. 3. Highest rank on final CCCAA/CCCFCA football poll. 			
<p>S-23-F09 Segmental Originator: Howard Davis CCCMGCA</p>	<p>Men’s Golf Championship Handbook 4.14.1</p>	<p>4.14.1 TIES, GENERAL</p> <p>A. <u>Team Ties—If any tie/s occur/s, the tie will be decided by adding the sixth player’s score from the second round to the team total. If a team does not have a sixth player, for any reason, that team would be eliminated from the tie breaker.</u></p> <p><u>If the teams are still tied, the tie will be broken by using the USGA recommended tie breaking procedure which totals the second round scores of all six (6) players on each team in the following order until the tie is broken.</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Holes 10-18 2. Holes 13-18 3. Holes 16-18 4. Hole 18 <p><u>In the event that this tie breaking procedure is required, the back nine (9) shall be deemed to be holes 10-18 on the score card, of the best 5 of 6 players on each tied team or tied individuals will be “matched” using the USGA rules listed</u></p>	<p>Using the sixth player’s second round score to break a tie is the logical first step. This obvious first step in tie-breaking the team score was mistakenly omitted. Also, delineating the hole numbers clears up any possible confusion that may come from the player’s different “final” holes.</p>	<p>The sixth player’s score was mistakenly omitted from the tie-breaking procedure. This change is needed to align with USGA and NCAA tie-breaking procedure.</p> <p>Gender Equity Impact: The Women’s Golf Coaches Association will be introducing the exact same tie-break system.</p> <p>Effective Date: July 1, 2010</p> <p>Constitution Committee: Filter okay.</p> <p>Postconference Competition Review Committee: Filter okay.</p>	<p><i>For action at 2010 CCCAA Annual Convention</i></p>

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p>below: 4/2/09</p> <p>The winner shall be determined by comparing the scores of those five (5) golfers whose scores created the tie in the order below until the tie is broken: 4/2/09</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The final 18 holes 2. The final 9 holes 3. The final 6 holes 4. The final 3 holes 5. The 18th hole <p>B. Individual Ties—As in team ties, the tie-breaking process is determined by matching scorecards as outlined in 4.14.1.A above. 4/2/09</p>			
<p>S-24-F09 Segmental</p> <p>Originator: Larry Cook CCCLGCA</p>	<p>Women’s Golf Championship Handbook 4.14.D</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Team ties—As time and conditions permit, a 1 to 3 hole sudden death playoff will be held calculating the four (4) best scores after each hole. If the tie continues, the score cards of the best 4 of 6 players on each tied team or tied individuals will be “matched” using USGA rules listed below: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Those tied shall compare the best scores recorded on the back nine (9) holes played by only those four (4) whose scores created the tie. b. When tied players have the same score for the back nine (9), the qualifiers shall be determined by comparing the scores on the last six (6) holes, the last three (3) holes, and finally the 18th hole. If still tied, refer to the USGA Rule Book. 4/7/06 2. Individual ties—As in team ties, a 1 to 3 hole sudden death playoff will be held when possible. Should a tie still exist following the third hole, the tie breaking process is determined by matching scorecards under 1a and 1b above. <p>D. When ties occur, the qualifiers shall be determined as</p>	<p>Our current tie-breaking procedure of playoff holes has several flaws that diminish the championship event. This legislation would provide a much more stable departure time for all contestants. Beyond that, the excitement of the scoring tally and naming of a champion in the midst of all participants is deflating to instead name the teams and/or individuals that must go to a playoff, and have the remainder of the participants and fans leave for home.</p>	<p>The Southern California Golf Association and Northern California Golf Association have expressed interest in becoming involved in CCCAA Women’s Golf championship events. Their participation would greatly enhance our events. They provide tournament management services that are extremely professional. A major consideration of SCGA and NCGA involvement is the improvement of the present tie-breaking procedure.</p> <p>In the past, teams that have been involved in tie-breaking holes have been forced to change flight plans at exorbitant costs. This legislation will prevent costly adjustments to travel arrangements.</p> <p>Gender Equity Impact:</p> <p>The legislation could greatly enhance our women’s championship events as the SCGA and NCGA have expressed interest in</p>	<p><i>For action at 2010 CCCAA Annual Convention</i></p>

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p>follows:</p> <p>1. <u>Team Ties—If any tie/s occur/s, the tie will be decided by adding the fifth player’s score from the second round to the team total. If the teams are still tied, the sixth player’s score from the second round will be added to the team total. If a team does not have a fifth player or sixth player, for any reason, that team would be eliminated from the tie breaker at the point they have no competitor.</u></p> <p><u>If still tied, the tie will be broken by using the USGA recommended tie breaking procedure which totals the second round scores of all six players on each team in the following order until the tie is broken</u></p> <p>a. Holes 10-18</p> <p>b. Holes 13-18</p> <p>c. Holes 16-18</p> <p>d. Hole 18.</p> <p><u>In the event that this tie breaking procedure is required, the back 9 shall be deemed to be holes 10-18 on the score card.</u></p> <p>2. <u>Individual Ties—As in team ties, the tie breaking process is determined by matching scorecards as outlined in 4.14.D.1 above.</u></p>		<p>providing This change would match exactly with the Men’s Golf Championship Handbook. This tie-breaking procedure is being proposed by the Men’s Golf Coaches Association also.</p> <p>Effective Date: July 1, 2010</p> <p>Constitution Committee: Filter okay.</p> <p>Postconference Competition Review Committee: Filter okay.</p>	
CONFERENCE/SPORT RULE CHANGES TO BE DETERMINED BY THE CCCAA MANAGEMENT COUNCIL					
<p>MC-1-F09</p> <p>\$</p> <p>Originator: Howard Davis CCCMGCA</p>	<p>Bylaw 4.2.6.E and Men’s Golf Championship Handbook 4.15.E</p>	<p><u>E. The use of distance measuring devices by student athletes and/or coaches is permissible during the stipulated round or during a suspension of play of that round.</u></p> <p><u>F. Conditions of contests (See COA/CCCAA Bylaw 4.)</u></p>	<p>The use of distance measuring devices has the potential to speed up play. Use of distance measuring devices will also decrease potential “home course” advantage.</p>	<p>This legislation aligns the CCCAA with the NCAA rules on distance measuring devices.</p> <p>Gender Equity Impact: There is no impact to gender equity as this legislation will be proposed to both men’s and women’s championship handbooks.</p> <p>Effective Date: July 1, 2010</p>	<p>APPROVED BY THE MANAGEMENT COUNCIL 10/29/09</p>

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
				<p>Constitution Committee: Rule change for Management Council. Concern regarding competitive equity was noted.</p> <p>Finance Committee: Filter okay. Committee does not recommend approval.</p> <p>Postconference Competition Review Committee: Filter okay.</p> <p>Competition Committee: Filter okay. Concern regarding cost containment and equitable competition. Committee does not recommend approval.</p>	
<p>MC-2-F09</p> <p>\$</p> <p>Originator: Larry Cook CCCLGCA</p>	<p>Bylaw 4.2.7.L and Women's Golf Championship Handbook 4.15.L</p>	<p><u>L. The use of distance measuring devices by student athletes and/or coaches is permissible during the stipulated round or during a suspension of play of that round.</u></p>	<p>The use of distance measuring devices has the potential to speed up play. Use of distance measuring devices will also decrease the “home course” advantage.</p>	<p>This legislation aligns the CCCAA with the NCAA rules on distance measuring devices.</p> <p>Gender Equity Impact: There is no impact to gender equity as this legislation will be proposed to both men's and women's championship handbooks.</p> <p>Effective Date: July 1, 2010</p> <p>Constitution Committee: Rule change for Management Council.</p> <p>Finance Committee: Filter okay. Committee does not recommend approval.</p> <p>Postconference Competition Review Committee: Filter okay.</p> <p>Competition Committee: Filter okay. Concern regarding cost containment and equitable competition. Committee does not recommend approval.</p>	<p>APPROVED BY THE MANAGEMENT COUNCIL 10/29/09</p>
<p>MC-3-F09</p> <p>Originator: Laurie Nash</p>	<p>Bylaw 4.2.14 Women's Volleyball</p>	<p><u>No exceptions</u></p> <p><u>Pre-Match Protocol (Example for a 7:00 p.m. match)</u></p> <p><u>Time</u> <u>Actual</u> <u>Visible Clock</u></p>	<p>To allow the visiting team the opportunity to have the court by themselves prior to shared court warm-up.</p>	<p>The NCAA pre-match protocol is not practical for Community College Women's Volleyball. It does not allow the visiting team their own court by themselves until 41</p>	<p>APPROVED BY THE MANAGEMENT</p>

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS																																								
CCCWVCA		<table border="0"> <tr> <td><u>Allotted</u></td> <td><u>Time</u></td> <td><u>Protocol</u></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>:10</td> <td>6-6:10</td> <td>:60-:50</td> <td><u>Home team court</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>:10</td> <td>6:10-6:20</td> <td>:50-:40</td> <td><u>Visiting team court</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>:20</td> <td>6:20-6:40</td> <td>:40-:20</td> <td><u>Shared court</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>:04</td> <td>6:40-6:44</td> <td>:20-:16</td> <td><u>Visiting team court</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>:04</td> <td>6:44-6:48</td> <td>:16-:12</td> <td><u>Home team court</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>:05</td> <td>6:48-6:53</td> <td>:12-:07</td> <td><u>Visiting team court</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>:05</td> <td>6:53-6:58</td> <td>:07-:02</td> <td><u>Home team court</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>:02</td> <td>6:58-7:00</td> <td>:02-:00</td> <td><u>Team introductions and national anthem</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>:00</td> <td>7:00</td> <td>:00</td> <td><u>Match begins</u></td> </tr> </table>	<u>Allotted</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Protocol</u>		:10	6-6:10	:60-:50	<u>Home team court</u>	:10	6:10-6:20	:50-:40	<u>Visiting team court</u>	:20	6:20-6:40	:40-:20	<u>Shared court</u>	:04	6:40-6:44	:20-:16	<u>Visiting team court</u>	:04	6:44-6:48	:16-:12	<u>Home team court</u>	:05	6:48-6:53	:12-:07	<u>Visiting team court</u>	:05	6:53-6:58	:07-:02	<u>Home team court</u>	:02	6:58-7:00	:02-:00	<u>Team introductions and national anthem</u>	:00	7:00	:00	<u>Match begins</u>		<p>minutes into the current warm-up time. The new proposal would allow the visiting team 10 minutes of their own court time.</p> <p>Effective Date: Immediate</p> <p>Constitution Committee: Filter okay: Recommend Effective Date July 1, 2010.</p> <p>PCRC: Filter okay.</p> <p>Competition Committee: Filter okay. Recommend approval.</p>	<p>COUNCIL 10/29/09</p>
<u>Allotted</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Protocol</u>																																											
:10	6-6:10	:60-:50	<u>Home team court</u>																																										
:10	6:10-6:20	:50-:40	<u>Visiting team court</u>																																										
:20	6:20-6:40	:40-:20	<u>Shared court</u>																																										
:04	6:40-6:44	:20-:16	<u>Visiting team court</u>																																										
:04	6:44-6:48	:16-:12	<u>Home team court</u>																																										
:05	6:48-6:53	:12-:07	<u>Visiting team court</u>																																										
:05	6:53-6:58	:07-:02	<u>Home team court</u>																																										
:02	6:58-7:00	:02-:00	<u>Team introductions and national anthem</u>																																										
:00	7:00	:00	<u>Match begins</u>																																										

EDITORIAL/POLICY ITEMS—APPROVED BY COA CONSTITUTION COMMITTEE/COA BOARD

<p>Approved-1-F09 COA Board Approved Policy Originator: Paul Moore CCCBCA</p>	<p>Bylaw 3.11 Baseball</p>	<p>Game/meet/match may begin: Jan 27* <u>Feb 3*</u> Conference competition ends: 4-5 Saturdays prior to Memorial Day Postconference Competition Ends: Memorial Day <u>Sunday</u>, weekend prior to Memorial Day weekend</p>	<p>Shorten the season by one week on both ends of the regular season to make length of season more compatible with number of games played. Fewer weeks means fewer hours for all staff.</p>	<p>Cost containment reductions has reduced the number of games played by the equivalent of two and one half weeks. To remain with the same schedule as with a full compliment of games would change the competitive nature of the sport. Keeping Jan 27th as start date of games would result in college's having five weeks to play eight or nine games. That is basically two games a week. Conference and post conference require three games a week. For the years 2010, 2011 & 2012 this proposal would result in a 13 week regular season instead of a fifteen week. Playing a five week non-conference schedule will allow teams to compete with only two starting pitchers. This will show up in seeding criteria as unreliable data. Making the non conference season only four weeks will eliminate this issue.</p> <p>Reducing the regular season by two weeks will save some money in staffing on a school by school basis.</p> <p>Effective Date: Immediate</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">COA Board Approved 8-28-09</p>
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
				Effective for cost containment for spring 2010, 2011, and 2012.	
Approved-2-F09 COA Board Approved Policy Originator: Paul Moore CCCBCA	Baseball Championship Handbook 1.3.2.C and 1.3.3.E	<u>1.3.2.C. & 1.3.3.E Game times may be altered with consultation of coaches, event managers, CCCBCA President, CCCAA Baseball Representative and CCCAA Office for the potential of saving money for traveling teams.</u>	Less lodging and meal expenses.	Later game times may allow a team to arrive day of game rather than day before the game. Earlier start times on second day of super-regionals could result in savings with hotels because it is an elimination day for two teams. Regionals one nights lodging and meals could save \$1700 per college. Super Regionals first game on second day team eliminated could save all lodging cost for that day. Effective Date: Immediate Effective for cost containment for spring 2010, 2011, and 2012.	COA Board Approved 8-28-09
Editorial Approved 3-F09 Originator: Paul Moore CCCBCA	Baseball Championship Handbook 2.2.2.3	2.2.2.3 . . . ➤ <u>Rating Percentage Index(RPI) with multiplier of .40. The multiplier represents games played against California community college teams. Teams that play 40 games or more will use .40. Teams that play 39 or less will use the actual number of games played; i.e., 37 games would be .37 with formula of 35% winning percentage, 40% opponents winning percentage, 25% opponent’s opponents winning percentage.</u> ➤ <u>Non-Conference Rating Percentage Index (RPI) with bonus points for non-conference games. . .</u>	Not enough non-conference games to warrant as a separate criteria. Have maintained non-conference games importance through the awarding of bonus points. Multiplier no longer applies due to game reductions. Changing RPI formula puts more emphasis on a team’s accomplishments and their schedule, which they have some control over.	Reduction in the number of non-conference games makes <i>Non-Conference RPI</i> unnecessary. To maintain as a criteria would put too much emphasis on games that are now one third or less of a team’s schedule. Multiplier was to reward teams for playing a full schedule and to be punitive for those who did not. No longer applies. The change in formula puts more emphasis on a team’s own accomplishments and reduces the importance, slightly, of the accomplishments of the teams they play. Effective Date: January 1, 2010 Constitution Committee: Approved by Constitution Committee as editorial.	APPROVED EDITORIAL 10/6/09

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
Editorial Approved-4-F09 Originator: Shanan Rosenberg CCCMBCA	Basketball Championship Handbook Men's-2.3.2.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wins vs. quality teams (a quality team is a team that has won 20 <u>18</u> games) • Losses vs. teams with less than 40 <u>9</u> wins 	To make the seeding criteria reflect the total number of contests scheduled.	Benefit: the seeding criteria will more accurately reflect the total number of contests scheduled. Effective Date: Immediate Constitution Committee: Approved by Constitution Committee as editorial.	APPROVED EDITORIAL 10/6/09
Editorial Approved-5-F09 Originator: Darci Brownell 3CFCA	Softball Championship Handbook 1.4.A	A. All Regional Round 1 and Regional Round 2 games shall be a best-of-three-(3)games series. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. CCCAA Regional Round 1: There shall be 32 teams participating, 16 teams at 8 sites in the north and 16 teams at 8 sites in the south to be played at the field of the highest seeds. (See Appendix A for the brackets for CCCAA Regional Round 1) <u>Home team shall be the higher seeded college for game one (1). In subsequent games, a coin flip will determine the home team.</u> 2. CCCAA Regional Round 2: There shall be 16 teams participating, 8 teams at 4 sites in the north and 8 teams at 4 sites in the south to be played at the field of the highest seeds. (See Appendix A for the brackets for CCCAA Regional Round 2) <u>Home team shall be the higher seeded college for game one (1). In subsequent games, a coin flip will determine the home team.</u> 	Language clean-up.	Just to make clear what the current practice is and that it is reflected in the championship handbook. Effective Date: January 1, 2010 Constitution Committee: Approved by Constitution Committee as editorial.	APPROVED EDITORIAL 10/6/09
Editorial Approved-6-F09 Originator: Darci Brownell	Softball Championship Handbook 2.3.B	B. Each conference shall have an elected voting representative at their respective seeding meeting. Attendance at the seeding meeting shall be limited to the seeding representatives only; except, a seeding representative who is in her/his last year may be accompanied by the seeding representative elect, who will attend as an observer. The	Language clean-up.	Because the seeding committee does not use the voting process. Effective Date: January 1, 2010 Constitution Committee: Approved by Constitution Committee as editorial.	APPROVED EDITORIAL 10/6/09

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
3CFCA		3CFCA president and president elect shall chair their respective seeding meetings. It is the responsibility of each seeding representative to be familiar with the teams to be seeded from their area prior to the seeding meeting with emphasis on their conference.			
Editorial Approved- 7-F09 Originator: Gary Bluth 5CTCA	Track & Field Championship Handbook 3.1.6.1	3.1.6.1 AWARDS PRESENTATIONS ➤ Previous bullets - no change ➤ Recognition: All-Tournament American Team or MVP (if provided by the Coaches Associations). The announcer will recognize the names of the individual All-tournament American team members. Each member will individually approach the awards table to be visually recognized, receive an award, and walk to the center of the facility.	Clarifies old wording.	Language no longer applies. Effective Date: January 1, 2010 Constitution Committee: Approved by Constitution Committee as editorial.	APPROVED EDITORIAL 10/6/09
Editorial Approved- 8-F09 Originator: Gary Bluth 5CTCA	Track & Field Championship Handbook 4.3 & 4.3.2	4.3 EVENT MANAGER ANNOUNCER A. Welcome B. Introduction of Teams 1. Affiliation (Conference and how teams advanced to finals) 2. Win/loss record 3. Number of times in CCCAA championship events C. Introduction of Team Personnel 1. Starters in numerical order 2. In alternating order when appropriate 3. Coaching staff 4. Support staff D. Introduction of Officials E B. National Anthem	Clarifies old wording.	Language no longer applies. Effective Date: January 1, 2010 Constitution Committee: Approved by Constitution Committee as editorial.	APPROVED EDITORIAL 10/6/09

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p>F C. Immediately prior to start of every game, meet, or match: read CCCAA decorum statement</p> <p>G D. <u>Time Out During the meet</u> Announcements <i>1 through 5 No change</i></p> <p>H E. End of Competition Announcements 1. Summary of event’s activities, next day’s activities, <u>team scores</u> or awards ceremony</p> <p>PUBLIC ADDRESS ANNOUNCEMENTS (AT EVENTS) <i>A through D – No Change</i></p> <p>E. Do not anticipate plays <u>results</u> or official rulings aloud.</p> <p>4.3.2 OFFICIALS <i>1 through 6 – No change</i></p> <p>7. At least two (2) finish judges.</p>			
<p>Editorial Approved-9-F09 Originator: Gary Bluth 5CTCA</p>	<p>Track & Field Championship Handbook 4.14.1</p>	<p>4.14.1 CCCAA TRACK AND FIELD SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA REGIONALS 10/16/08</p> <p>In the CCCAA Southern California Multi-Event Combined Events Competition (Decathlon and Heptathlon), the competition shall follow NCAA Rules. The CCCAA Southern California Regional Championship Decathlon and Heptathlon shall be scored <u>added</u> into the scoring totals of the CCCAA Track & Field Southern California Regional championships.</p>	Clarifies old wording.	<p>Language no longer applies. Effective Date: January 1, 2010 Constitution Committee: Approved by Constitution Committee as editorial.</p>	<p>APPROVED EDITORIAL 10/6/09</p>
<p>Editorial Approved-10-F09 Originator: Gary Bluth 5CTCA</p>	<p>Track & Field Championship Handbook 4.15.B and C</p>	<p>4.1 EQUIPMENT</p> <p>B. A video system shall be used to record events and assist the meet committee: any video tape or photo can be used <u>by the Meet Referee and/or the Jury of Appeals</u> when it aids in rendering decisions concerning protests.</p> <p>C. <u>All field event results shall be recorded utilizing the metric system. At the event site, the head official shall announce marks utilizing both metric and imperial (English) numbers. The meet announcer shall announce results in English using</u></p>	Simplify a rule.	<p>Clarifies old wording. Effective Date: January 1, 2010 Constitution Committee: Approved by Constitution Committee as editorial.</p>	<p>APPROVED EDITORIAL 10/6/09</p>

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<u>the imperial numbers.</u>			
Editorial Approved-11-F09 Originator: Gary Bluth SCTCA	Track & Field Championship Handbook 4.15 and 4.15.1	<p>4.15 RULES</p> <p>All California ...</p> <p>For the CCAAA Track and Field Southern California Regional Championships: All coaches, spectators, and non-competing athletes shall remain off the infield and track during the regional and state championships unless he/she has been asked to help as an official or in some meet management capacity/responsibility.</p> <p>4.15.1 CCAAA Track and Field Southern California Regional Championships</p> <p>A. In the horizontal jumps, all jumps should be recorded with a wind gauge reading. This wind gauge must be certified for the present year or a second wind gauge should also be used.</p> <p>Wind gauges at the CCCAA Track and Field Southern California Regional Championship Trials</p> <p>In the CCCAA Track and Field Southern California Regional Championship Trials</p>	Simplify a rule.	Clarifies old wording. Effective Date: January 1, 2010 Constitution Committee: Approved by Constitution Committee as editorial.	APPROVED EDITORIAL 10/6/09
Editorial Approved-12-F09 Originator: Carlyle Carter COA Board	Bylaws 6.8.3.B and 8.1.3.2 And Championship Handbook sections 4.2 and 4.5.1	<p>Bylaws:</p> <p>6.8.3.B. TIME LINES</p> <p>1. Proposed budgets shall be presented to the Office of the COA Executive Director through the MC Sport Representative. The budgets for fall sports are due October 1; except <u>football (June 1) and basketball (November 1)</u>; and spring sports, February 1. <u>In addition, event contracts for fall sports are due October 1; except football (June 1) and basketball (November 1), and spring sports (February 1).</u></p> <p>8.1.3.2. The bid package for postconference competition events, including the proposed budget, shall be forwarded by October 1 (for fall sports except basketball), November 1 (for</p>	To correct inconsistencies/errors in due dates provided for PC proposed budgets and event contracts between the COA/CCCAA Constitution and Bylaws and the Championship Handbooks.	Over the years, the due dates in the Constitution and Bylaws changed, and the due dates in the event manager's manual used to develop the championship handbooks were not. In addition, changes have been made to the football bowl game selection process, and the dates need to be updated to reflect that as well. Also, event contracts and proposed budgets should be due on the same date for consistent planning. Effective Date: Immediate Constitution Committee: Approved by Constitution Committee as editorial to be	APPROVED EDITORIAL 10/6/09

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p>basketball), or February 1 (for spring sports) two (2) years prior to the event to the MC sport representative and COA Executive Director for approval. Final event financial statements shall be sent to the Office of the COA Executive Director and ready for review by the MC. Final expenses shall not exceed the approved proposed budget. Expenses not covered by income shall be the responsibility of the host college. <u>In addition, event contracts for fall sports are due October 1; except football, June 1 and basketball, November 1; and spring sports, February 1.</u></p> <p><i>Fall Sport Championship Handbooks (except Football and Basketball):</i></p> <p>4.2 EVENT CONTRACTS</p> <p>The event contract must be signed by the host college president, athletic director, and designated event manager and received by the COA/CCCAA Office no later than December <u>October 1</u>.</p> <p>4.5.1 BUDGET INFORMATION</p> <p>Colleges hosting the postconference competition events shall provide a proposed budget to their CCCAA (sport) Representative and the COA/CCCAA Office by February <u>October 1</u>. Proposed budget forms are available at www.coasports.org/forms.asp.</p> <p><i>Football Championship Handbook:</i></p> <p>4.2 EVENT CONTRACTS</p> <p>The event contract must be signed by the host college president, athletic director, and designated event manager and received by the COA/CCCAA Office no later than July <u>June 1</u>.</p> <p>4.5.1 BUDGET INFORMATION</p> <p>Colleges hosting the postconference competition events shall provide a proposed budget to their CCCAA (sport) Representative and the COA/CCCAA Office by July <u>June 1</u>. Proposed budget forms are available at www.coasports.org/forms.asp.</p>		effective immediately.	

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p><i>Basketball Championship Handbook:</i></p> <p>4.2 EVENT CONTRACTS</p> <p>The event contract must be signed by the host college president, athletic director, and designated event manager and received by the COA/CCCAA Office no later than December <u>November 1</u>.</p> <p>4.5.1 BUDGET INFORMATION</p> <p>Colleges hosting the postconference competition events shall provide a proposed budget to their CCCAA (sport) Representative and the COA/CCCAA Office by December <u>November 1</u>. Proposed budget forms are available at www.coasports.org/forms.asp.</p> <p><i>Spring Sport Championship Handbooks:</i></p> <p>4.2 EVENT CONTRACTS</p> <p>The event contract must be signed by the host college president, athletic director, and designated event manager and received by the COA/CCCAA Office no later than December <u>February 1</u>.</p> <p>4.5.1 BUDGET INFORMATION</p> <p>Colleges hosting the postconference competition events shall provide a proposed budget to their CCCAA (sport) Representative and the COA/CCCAA Office by December <u>February 1</u>. Proposed budget forms are available at www.coasports.org/forms.asp.</p>			
<p>Editorial Approved-13-F09 Originator: Paul Moore CCCBCA</p>	<p>Baseball Championship Handbook 4.15.1.A and 4.15.3.B and 4.15.3.E</p>	<p>4.15.1 In the regional tournament, host teams shall have their choice of dugouts. In the super regional tournament, <u>and state finals, home teams shall occupy the 3rd base dugout. have their choice of dugouts.</u></p> <p>4.15.3.B. The home team shall have 3rd base dugout <u>its choice of dugouts.</u></p> <p>4.15.3. E. For game #7, reverse from game #6 <u>but dugouts</u></p>	<p>Host or traveling colleges not forced to use a dugout that may or may not be advantageous to them.</p> <p>4.15.3.E: The changing of dugouts would not only impact the two teams but the crowd as well.</p>	<p>Frequently the 3rd base dugout is not the host college’s normal dugout and it may also be a competitive disadvantage to be in the 3rd base dugout for other teams. “Home” team for each game should have a choice of dugouts.</p> <p>Effective Date: Immediate</p>	<p>APPROVED EDITORIAL 10/6/09</p>

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<u>remain the same.</u>		Constitution Committee: Approved by Constitution Committee as editorial to be effective immediately.	
ITEMS WITHDRAWN OR FAILED IN COMMITTEE					
COA-3-F09 Segmental Originator: Darci Brownell 3CFCA	Softball Championship Handbook 2.2	The 16 teams from the north and 16 teams from the south shall be selected with the top two from each conference, plus four (4) at-large berths. <u>A. The second-place teams from each conference must meet the following criteria:</u> 1. <u>Have a .500% or above record.</u> 2. <u>Play at least 85% of their schedule (85% of 40 = 34 games).</u> <u>B. If a second-place team does not meet both of these criteria it will not be considered an automatic qualifier, but it can apply for an at-large berth.</u>	To make sure that the best 16 teams would be represented in the playoffs.	Conference strength is not equal and this would make sure that a 2 nd place team that is 12-23 would not be an automatic qualifier and would ensure a higher quality of playoff teams. Effective Date: January 1, 2010 Constitution Committee: Filter okay. Recommend effective date July 1, 2010. Postconference Competition Review Committee: Filter okay. Did not have 3CFCA vote as of 10/1/09	REMOVED 10/6/09: This did not have a vote of support from the 3CFCA prior to the 10/1/09 deadline for submission.
COA-5-F09 Segmental Originator: Darci Brownell 3CFCA	Softball Championship Handbook 2.3.D	D. The following criteria, not in a set order, shall be used to seed colleges. Each of the criteria shall be equally weighted: 1. No change 2. Head to head competition expressed as total number of wins against all teams being considered to the group (at large teams, seeds 1-4, seeds 5-8, seeds 9-12, and seeds 13-16). For example, if Team A is 3-0 and Team B is 4-6, Team B would win the category based on total number total number of wins. 3-2. No change, 4-3. No change 5-4. Record vs. automatic qualifiers expressed as total	To clean up the seeding criteria so that the numbers can't be skewed and the seeding process remains objective.	Because the outcome can be skewed depending who is nominated for the cluster (at-large, 1-4, 5-8, 9-12, 13-16). Effective Date: January 1, 2010 Constitution Committee: Filter okay: Recommend effective date July 1, 2010. Postconference Competition Review Committee: Filter okay. Did not have 3CFCA vote as of 10/1/09	REMOVED 10/6/09: This did not have a vote of support from the 3CFCA prior to the 10/1/09 deadline for submission.

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
		<p>number of wins <u>when considering the at-large teams</u>. Then record vs. <u>32 final postconference competition participants expressed as total number of wins when seeding 1-16 in north and south</u>. For example, if Team A is 4-2 and Team B is 3-1, Team A would win that category based on total number of wins.</p> <p>When comparing teams numerically if Team A has beaten Team B, C and D in three (3) or more categories Team A will get the higher seed.</p>			
<p>P-15-F09</p> <p>Policy</p> <p>\$</p> <p>Originator: Shanan Rosenberg CCCMBCA/C CCWBCA</p>	<p>Bylaw 3.11</p>	<p>Basketball M/W</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Max: 24 contests <u>and 1</u></p> <p><u>scrimmage</u></p>	<p>The scrimmage will give basketball the opportunity to play against another college team in a period when 4-year recruiters can observe our student-athletes. This exposure opportunity is of tremendous benefit to enhance the recruitment and transfer potential of our student-athletes.</p>	<p>As California Community College educators we have duty to give our student-athletes the best chance to transfer to 4-year institutions. The addition of a scrimmage will allow teams the flexibility to participate in a scrimmage activity during the Fall NCAA live recruiting period without reducing an already reduced contest count. Currently many coaches opt to not use contests as scrimmages in order to maximize their potential to accumulate wins, and therefore increase their potential for a higher seed in the State Championship. While scrimmages are always optional, adding a scrimmage will allow coaches the ability to maximize the exposure and recruitment of their student-athletes without compromising seeding potential for the State Championship. We should be taking advantage of this recruiting window to expose our student-athletes.</p> <p>\$ Officials always volunteer to work scrimmages and schools have many options to limit potential travel costs –</p>	<p>WITHDRAWN BY ORIGINATOR</p> <p>10/29/09</p>

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

PROPOSAL NUMBER	REFERENCE/ SPORT	PROPOSAL	INTENT	Rationale/Gender Equity Impact/Fiscal Impact/ Proposed Effective Date/Committee Recommendation	STATUS
				<p>AND athletic directors could opt to mandate that any funding, if necessary, must come from fundraising for a scrimmage.</p> <p>Effective Date: July 1, 2010</p> <p>Constitution Committee: Filter okay. Concern was noted regarding cost containment and adding a scrimmage.</p> <p>Finance Committee: Filter okay. There may be some concern regarding facility usage and staff time. Committee does not recommend approval.</p> <p>Competition Committee: Filter okay. Committee does not recommend approval.</p>	

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

Form R-4 (Statement of Compliance of Title IX Gender Equity) (Appendix B)

In compliance with state and federal Title IX ~~and~~ laws pertaining to equitable opportunities for men and women, respective community colleges, governed under the California Community College Athletic Association/Commission on Athletics, must complete and report the three-part test as indicated on Form R-4. The three-part test includes: (1) participation proportionate to full-time undergraduate enrollment; or (2) continued program expansion for the underrepresented sex; or (3) fully and effectively accommodating the underrepresented sex.

Form R-4 shall be completed, signed, and forwarded, along with the most-recent Equity in Athletics Disclosure Act (EADA) report, to the Office of the Executive Director by November 1 of each year. A copy shall be sent to the conference commissioner, and another copy shall be retained on campus in the President’s office. Failure to file this form by November 1st will result in the forfeiture of all completed contests.

Please print or type the information in the spaces provided.

College: _____ Academic Year: _____

Test One: Participation Proportionate to Full-Time Undergraduate Enrollment

Please indicate the rates of full-time undergraduate enrollment and athletic participation.

Rates of Athletic Participation Compared to Rates of Full-Time Undergraduate Enrollment (Please use EADA report COA Gender Equity website for definitions: http://www.coasports.org/gender.asp)				
Program	Rate of Full-Time Undergraduate Enrollment		Rate of Participation	
	(Total Number)	(Percentage)	(Total Number)	(Percentage)
Women				
Men				
Totals				

Generally, as practical guidance for institutions choosing compliance with test one (proportionality), the largest programs with several hundred participants might aim for a two percentage points difference between rates of participation and rates of enrollment; medium-sized programs might aim for a three percentage points difference; smaller programs a four percentage points difference; and the smallest programs might aim for five percentage points as the maximum allowable variance. Participation rates exceeding the permissible variances for substantial proportionality mean that students of one sex (most often women) are “underrepresented” in the athletics program. Reference: Bonnette, V. (2004). Title IX and Intercollegiate Athletics: How It All Works – In Plain English.

Test Two: Continuing Practice of Program Expansion for the Underrepresented Sex

Please provide a listing of the sports and the initiation years for women’s and men’s intercollegiate athletic competition.

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

If women are underrepresented in the athletics program, list the number of participation opportunities on those women’s teams added in the last five years:

<u>Year implemented</u>	<u>Sports added within last 5 years</u>	<u>Number of participants on team</u>

Calculate the percentage that the number of added opportunities in the last five years represents of the total number of participants in the current women’s program:

<u>Total number of participants in sports added for women within the last five years.</u> <u>Add all the participants in the third column from above.</u>	<u>Percentage of added opportunities:</u> <u>Total number of participants in sports added for women within the last five years/total number of participants in the current program for women’s sports.</u> <u>Example: 75/385 = 19%</u>

If the added opportunities represent 25% or more of the current women’s program, compliance with test two is possible. If the added opportunities in the last five years are less than 25% of the current program, then compliance with test two is less likely. Identify the number of participants on any women’s teams that have been discontinued. If the women’s team(s) were discontinued for lack of interest or lack of competition, compliance with test two is still possible. If the women’s team(s) were discontinued for budgetary reasons or failure to hire a coach or provide a facility, compliance with test two may be compromised. (If men are underrepresented in the current program, conduct this analysis for the men’s program.)

For further information regarding Test Two, please refer to Valerie Bonnette’s *Title IX and Intercollegiate Athletics: How It All Works – In Plain English* on pages 35-86, or visit the [Gender Equity](http://www.coasports.org/gender.asp) page from the CCCAA website at <http://www.coasports.org/gender.asp>.

Test Three: Fully and Effectively Accommodate the Underrepresented Sex

An institution meets test three if it offers every team for women (if women are underrepresented) for which there is sufficient interest and ability for a viable team and sufficient competition for that team in the area where the institution’s teams normally compete. If the three factors of interest, ability, and available competition exist for a team not currently offered to the underrepresented sex, then the institution does not meet test three.

Please provide the total number of applicants interested in athletic participation in women’s sports for summer, fall, and spring terms semesters. Also, indicate the dates for data collection and method of survey distribution. (If men are underrepresented in the current program, conduct this analysis for the men’s program.)

Dates of data collection: From _____ (mm/dd/year) to _____ (mm/dd/year)

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

"\$" Fiscal Impact

Method of survey distribution (please check one):

CCCApply other (please specify: _____)

Note: A standardized survey in the "needs & interests (optional)" area of CCCApply will provide respective community colleges with data regarding the applicants' interests in men's or women's athletic participation in specific sports.

Number of Applicants Interested in Athletic Participation in Specific Sports (One Full Academic Year)			
Women's Sports	Number of Interest	Men's Sports	Number of Interest
Archery		Archery	
Badminton		Baseball	
Basketball		Bowling	
Bowling		Cross Country	
Cross Country		Equestrian	
Equestrian		Fencing	
Fencing		Football	
Field Hockey		Golf	
Golf		Gymnastics	
Gymnastics		Lacrosse	
Lacrosse		Rodeo	
Rodeo		Rowing	
Rowing		Rugby	
Rugby		Skiing/Snowboarding	
Skiing/Snowboarding		Soccer	
Soccer		Softball	
Softball		Surfing	
Surfing		Swimming/Diving	
Swimming/Diving		Team Handball	
Team Handball		Tennis	
Tennis		Track & Field	
Track & Field		Volleyball	
Volleyball		Volleyball	
Volleyball (Beach/Sand)		Water Polo	
Water Polo		Wrestling	
Wrestling			
Total		Total	

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

“\$” Fiscal Impact

Additionally:

1) If women are underrepresented in your program, identify the sports offered to girls in the high school interscholastic athletics programs in your recruitment areas that are not currently offered to women in your intercollegiate program. Also, identify whether the number of girls participating at the high school level suggests that there would be enough interest and ability to offer a team in that sport at your institution. Please send an e-mail to the California Interscholastic Federation (CIF) at sanctions@cifstate.org to request data regarding the total number of girls participating in high schools within the recruitment area for sport(s) not currently offered in program.

<u>Sport(s) not currently offered in program.</u>	<u>Number of girls participating in high school within the recruitment area.</u>	<u>Survey results</u>

2) For any sports identified under step 1, identify whether there is enough competition at an appropriate competitive level for that sport in the area where your current intercollegiate teams normally compete.

<u>Sport(s) identified under step 1.</u>	<u>Intercollegiate competition available (A) or not available (NA) in the area, based on institution's mile radius of competition.*</u>	<u>Action Plan</u>	<u>Outcome</u>

Again, if there is sufficient interest and ability for a team not currently offered, and there is sufficient competition at an appropriate level for that team, then the institution does not comply with test three.

*For further information regarding Test Three, please refer to Valerie Bonnette's *Title IX and Intercollegiate Athletics: How It All Works – In Plain English* on pages 52-53, or visit the [Gender Equity](http://www.coasports.org/gender.asp) page from the CCCAA website at <http://www.coasports.org/gender.asp>.

Upon completion, the institution's athletic director(s) and researcher must sign this Form R-4 and forward the original, along with the most-recent Equity in Athletics Disclosure Act (EADA) report, to the Office of the Executive Director and a copy to the conference commissioner by November 1, of each year. A copy is to be retained in the President's office on campus. Failure to file this form by November 1st will result in the forfeiture of all completed contests.

LEGISLATION RESULTS: FALL 2009 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

"\$" Fiscal Impact

Please indicate which of the three tests the institution meets for compliance with Title IX by checking the appropriate box below:

Test One: Participation Proportionate to Full-Time Undergraduate Enrollment.

Test Two: Continuing Practice of Program Expansion for the Underrepresented Sex.

Test Three: Fully and Effectively Accommodate the Underrepresented Sex.

Not in Compliance with Test One, Two, or Three: Program is under review.

*Disclaimer: An institution's compliance status under the three-part test does not affect or determine the institution's compliance with the other 12 program components reviewed under Title IX (i.e., scholarships, equipment, scheduling, travel, tutoring, coaching, facilities, medical and training facilities and services, housing and dining facilities and services, publicity, support services, and recruitment of student-athletes).

Name of Institution

Athletic Director (M)

Athletic Director (W)

Researcher