



COST CONTAINMENT RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CONSIDERATION AND COMMENT

The California Community Colleges are facing perhaps the most significant fiscal challenges ever and every District and College is forced to re-examine every aspect of their operation to ensure fiscal efficiency. We are already aware of significant reductions in class offerings, elimination of inter and/or summer sessions, travel restrictions or limitations, vacant positions that will not be filled, increase in fees and the loss of at least twenty-six athletic teams statewide to date. The system has withstood an attempt to “de-value” physical education in funding and there is no guarantee that another attempt won’t resurface. It clearly is not “business as usual” in the CCC system.

In February 2009, the Commission on Athletics Board approved a system-wide reduction in maximum contests for all sports amounting to an estimated 1.5 million dollars in savings. In June 2009, in addition to extending the reduction of contests limits until 2012, the COA Board reviewed the remaining initial cost containment recommendations and charged the Management Council with developing additional recommendations in an attempt to maximize revenue and limit expenditures in the operations of CCC athletic programs. The task fell to the Competition Committee of the MC and several conference calls were held in an effort to identify additional cost saving and revenue enhancing recommendations. The MC called an emergency cost containment summit in Ontario, CA held September 24-25, 2009. The meeting brought together the MC as well as members of the Competition, Post Conference Review and selected representatives of the Conferencing Committees. The discussions were guided in part by a review of a preliminary set of recommendations as a result of previous conference calls of the Competition Committee. Additionally, the COA/CCCAA office presented a detailed 5 year financial report of Regional and State Post-conference events along with a series of recommendations regarding fiscal operation of Post-conference. The recommendations contained in this summary are the result of significant discussion and deliberation by the governing body charged with operational control and representing athletic conferences, sport group liaisons, affiliate organizations and state office personnel. The recommendations and report were presented, modified and referred to the COA Board for their consideration at the Fall meeting held October 28-30, 2009 in Burlingame.

In addition to the work of the Competition Committee, a report was received by an ad-hoc Conferencing Committee. The initial charge to this committee (established in the Spring of 2008) was a review of how Conferences were structured and the continuing issue of “hosting” college programs from other conferences that lacked the numbers to officially offer conference competition in the sport. The ongoing issue creates significant problems for developing schedules and monitoring programs of non-conference members not to mention the added expense borne by the “hosted colleges” to travel. As the need for cost containment became more prevalent, the focus of the Conferencing Committee turned to attempting

to find ways to reduce expenses of intercollegiate athletic programs. It has been widely known that the composition of existing conferences was achieved through a “self-selection” process without consideration of like sport offerings and geographical proximity. In addition, other conferences were formed as a result of colleges that were left without a conference after all other conferences were formed. In many cases, member colleges of one conference drive by several non-conference colleges in traveling to a conference opponent. Eventually, the conference committee split into north and south sub-committees, each developing separate proposals as a result of regional specific issues. The MC and COA Board recognize that any recommended changes to existing conference configurations has the potential to be controversial and is disseminating the report and recommendations to every college to solicit feedback. Please keep in mind that there is no perfect solution to the problems we face and that the main goal of the committee(s) was to provide the best overall reconfigurations that would maximize cost savings to the most possible.

Attached you will find the Cost Containment recommendations and also the recommendations of the Conferencing Committees. The COA Board requests that any member wishing to respond to the report(s) formulate an “official” institutional response and forward (preferably as an email attachment) to the COA/CCCAA office in Sacramento no later than November 30. (info@coasports.org) Hard copies will also be accepted but must be received in the COA/CCCAA office also by November 30. The responses received will be posted on the COA/CCCAA website for member access.

It is anticipated that the COA Board will be meeting in the first week of December to review the feedback and consider the proposed recommendations.

Summary of Management Council Emergency Cost Containment Summit

CCCAA MANAGEMENT COUNCIL RECOMMENDATIONS

~ September 24-25, 2009 – Ontario, CA ~

with amendments from COA/CCCAA Fall Meetings (October 27-30, 2009) – Burlingame, CA

These recommendations were approved by the Management Council for consideration by the COA Board December 4, 2009.

1. Effective January 1, 2010, that state championships shall be determined with a maximum of four (4) teams competing, using each sport's current format with regional post-conference competition to be extended by one round (as needed) for those existing Championship field sizes that exceed 4 teams.
2. Effective January 1, 2010, increase the starting point of the mileage scale for participating team reimbursement from \$0.25 to \$0.50/mile.
 - A. Base party size for reimbursement for travel on guidelines stated in 8.1.3.8.
 - B. If the party size is equal to or less than eight (8), then all transportation by colleges to post-conference competition shall be reimbursed at ~~\$0.25~~ \$0.50 per round-trip mile, or actual travel receipts, whichever is less.
 - C. If the party size is greater than eight (8), then in addition to the ~~\$0.25~~ \$0.50 per round- trip mile outlined in section B, for each member greater than eight (8) in the accepted party size, an additional \$0.03 per round-trip mile will be added to the reimbursement, or the reimbursement total will be based on actual travel receipts, whichever is less.
3. Effective January 1, 2010, that post-conference competition entry fee charges (where applicable) to participating colleges be eliminated and appropriate approved budgets be developed by the CCCAA State Office.
4. Evaluate the scheduling of all meetings and conferences and use, whenever possible, CCCConfer (<http://www.cccconfer.org/index1.aspx>).
5. Reduce (not including post-conference competition) contests and practices on days that require overtime pay for staff (particularly Sundays and holidays).
6. Maximize local and inter-regional competition.
7. Hold all CCCAA state playoff competitions, whenever possible, on community college campuses. Golf, cross country and tennis are possible exceptions.
8. Ask the COA Board to consider a COA/CCCAA dues reduction.
9. Motion was withdrawn to recommend that the softball playoff structure be moved to a Friday-Saturday schedule rather than Saturday-Sunday schedule. However, it was suggested that if softball elects to have its additional round in the two-team regional format, it must be held on a Friday-Saturday.
10. Encourage cross-country, golf and wrestling tournaments be scheduled in an invitational as opposed to a round-robin format.
11. Ask the COA Board to freeze sport official fees at 2008-09 levels. (Note: COA Board did not approve this recommendation in June.)
12. Ask the COA to allow divisional play for conferences with eight or nine teams (providing there is a minimum of four teams per division). Conferences under this provision would be required to identify one conference champion.
13. Review Form 3's to determine if the current travel party size limits utilized for participating team reimbursements for all sports needed to be adjusted.
14. Motion was withdrawn to reduce officials in football and basketball. CCCAA Executive Director will enter into a dialogue with officiating groups to see if concessions can be negotiated to reduce officiating costs.
15. For Post Conference events involving more than two teams the Sport Coaches Association president will work with the CCCAA office and the Management Council Sport Representative to establish host sites for post-conference competition in order to reduce travel for the majority of teams.
16. Request that the CCCFCA Executive Committee meet with football conference commissioners and MC Football Representative to bring cost containment recommendations to the Management Council Summit at the CCCAA Annual Convention, March 29, 2010.
17. Accept the wrestling coaches' association recommendation to re-conference wrestling.
18. Form an ad hoc re-conferencing committee with the existing North and South Cost Containment Re-conferencing Committee members to consider conference criteria. Dean Crowley to chair.
19. Increase the travel party size for the sport of soccer from 20 to 25. (Request that the COA Board make this effective immediately.)

CONFERENCING PROPOSAL
NORTHERN CALIFORNIA
October 2009

Committee Members

Dale Murray, Commissioner Coast Conference
Shirley Baskin, Commissioner Bay Valley Conference
Phil Maas, Commissioner Golden Valley Conference
Mike Liddell, Commissioner Big Eight
Logan Mckechnie, Commissioner Central Valley Conference
Susan Yates, Athletic Director Fresno City College
Paul Moore, Baseball Coaches Assoc.

Northern California Conferencing Issues

Northern California Conference issues were identified as the following:

1. Less than minimal colleges in the Golden Valley Conference
 2. Big Eight Conference hosts too many programs
 3. Lack of full programs in the Central Valley Conference, and to a lesser extent the Bay Valley Conference, results in multiple hosting assignments.
 4. Coast Conference has too many colleges.
-
- A. The addition of Bakersfield, Hancock, and Cuesta into the Central Valley increases the sport offerings by 47 programs, and eliminates many of the hosting situations.
 - B. Moving Columbia (2 programs) from the Central Valley to the Big Eight decreases the mileage for Columbia, as well as decreases the mileage for the proposed three new members.
 - C. Moving any colleges into the Golden Valley will increase the mileage for any new and existing programs, nor do the obvious choices adequately fill any program offering holes.
 - D. Coast Conference is indeed large, but seven sports have a division structure—so it is really like two conferences., and 6 programs have six or less colleges participating, so is a logical hosting site for Bay Valley and some Central Valley programs
 - E. Increased size of the Central Valley and increased hosting in the Coast Conference, eliminates some of the Big Eight hosting concerns.

CONFERENCE ALIGNMENT CHANGES

1. Accept Bakersfield, Allan Hancock and Cuesta into the Central Valley Conference.
2. Move Columbia College from the Central Valley Conference to the Big Eight Conference.

3. Continue to allow the Golden Valley Conference to exist as a six College conference.

HOSTING ASSIGNMENTS (* new assignment, the rest are existing))

1. Fresno w-water polo in the Big Eight
2. Cuesta m/w water polo in the Coast *
3. Merced m/w water polo in the Coast
4. Fresno badminton in the Coast
5. Laney w-water polo, w-swim*, and w-track in the Coast*
6. Marin m/w- track in the Big Eight
7. Merritt m/w track in the Big Eight
8. Folsom Lake m-golf, m/w tennis in the Big Eight
9. Napa Valley m/w golf in the Big Eight
10. Shasta m/w swim in the Big Eight*
11. Solano m-water polo, m/w swim* in Coast

CENTRAL VALLEY CONFERENCE MILEAGE

FCC	MER	PORT	REED	COS	TAFT	WHC	BAK	CUESTA	AHC
FCC	57	77	27	45	140	73	115	141	174
MER		132	81	99	194	115	169	195	227
PORT			65	34	83	98	53	167	200
REED				32	127	79	102	147	179
COS					100	65	75	134	156
TAFT						108	41	95	88
WHC							106	102	153

With Columbia College still in the Central Valley Conference, all mileage figures swill increase by 63 miles, one way.

Mileage from Columbia to most distant Big 8 Conference colleges and most distant Bay Valley Conference colleges

	Bay Valley	Marin	Mendocino
Columbia		147	236
	Big 8	DVC	Santa Rosa
Columbia		124	175

Southern California Conferencing Committee

Current Conference Considerations:

- Status of a particular conference relative to membership, participation numbers in specific sports and number of hosted sports.
- Geographical location of colleges within a conference.

Basis for College Redistribution:

- Each conference would have nine or ten colleges. The membership would be composed of colleges with a large number of sports and colleges with fewer sports. Increase college membership and the balance between larger and small sports offerings will reduce the number of sports to be hosted. The mixing of the two also helped provided competitive balance
- To balance participation numbers in sports to reduce hosting issues for other conferences. This can increase travel for conference colleges hosting a particular sport and for the colleges being hosted.
- Reduce travel for the colleges within a particular conference. An attempt was made to align conferences geographically. When a college is moved from one conference to another, it can have a positive affect on travel for the majority of the home conference colleges and also the college being relocated. It may not reduce travel for all colleges involved, but the attempt was to reduce travel for the majority.
- Considered the possibility that conferences with low participation numbers in a particular sport/sports forced members to travel out of their conference to secure competition. Therefore, what may seem to be an increase in travel through re-conferencing may in fact be equal or less than current travel distances.

Additional Information/Points (After CCCAA Meetings)

- The vast majority of colleges either reduced travel or remained the same (44 colleges of the 59 considered in the proposal). Fifteen colleges increased mileage. Four colleges increased travel by more than 16 miles one way (7%). Nineteen colleges reduced travel by 16 miles or more (32%).
- Financial Projection for Fuel Only (Estimate):
Criteria:
 - a. Average travel savings of 24 miles one-way. This average was developed from the 19 colleges with travel reduction of 16 miles or more.
 - b. College offering 16 sports
 - c. 156 away contest
 - d. Utilizing 7/8-passenger vans
 - e. 311 total vans utilized
 - f. Fuel @ \$3.00/Gallon
 - g. Institution would save \$4,477/year
 - h. Total savings for 19 colleges would be \$85,063

Hypothetical Sports Offerings:

<u>Sport/Travel</u>	<u># Trips/Vans</u>		<u>Season Total</u>	<u>Cost</u>
Cross Country (W/M)	6	1 + 1	12	\$ 173.00
Golf	14	1	14	\$ 201.00
Soccer (M/W)	9	2 + 2	36	\$ 518.00
Volleyball (W)	9	2	18	\$ 259.00
Water Polo (W/M)	9	2 + 2	36	\$ 518.00
Basketball (W/M)	10	2 + 2	40	\$ 576.00
Baseball	15	5	75	\$1,080.00
Softball	16	2	32	\$ 461.00
Swimming (W/M)	7	2 + 2	28	\$ 403.00
Tennis (W/M)	10	1 + 1	20	\$ 288.00
Total	156	30	311	\$4,377.00

- Other considerations may be vehicle wear and tear, staff hours and potential remuneration, student athlete travel time, missed classes, available work/study time.
- The movement of colleges to the CVC reduces the hosting problem for 11 sports under the current conference structure to 3 sports under the new configuration. Hosting out sports in the CVC creates extensive travel to meet conference obligations. This is not as significant an issue in metropolitan areas in the north and south.
- The new conference proposal strengthens some conferences by adding large/prominent program(s) to smaller conferences with fewer competitive teams.

- Any current conference rivalries may be scheduled in non-conference events.
- By adding more colleges with larger programs allows a conference to divide into divisions which will also reduces travel.
- Colleges concerned about hosting post-conference events due to cost containment proposals may be currently in the same situation geographically. This proposal will not change their opportunities.

**SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA CONFERENCING
COMMITTEE
Management Council
REPORT
November 10, 2009**

Attachments:

**Current Conferencing Structure
Proposed Conferencing Structure
Average Travel Distance by College/Conference
Travel Distance Data**

Committee Members:

**Dean Crowley, Commissioner
Newton Chelette, Antelope Valley College
Dave Evans, San Diego Mesa College
John Keever, Commissioner
Rich Kollen, Commissioner
Tony Lipold, Saddleback College
Clara Lowden, Riverside Community College
Jerry White, Commissioner
John Woods, Commissioner**

So. California Conferencing Committee Proposed Conference No. 1 (Oct. '09)

	Cuyamaca	Grossmont	Imperial Valley	MiraCosta	Palomar	San Diego City	San Diego Mesa	San Diego Miramar	Southwestern	Proposed Average Miles to Games	Current Average Miles to Games	Change in Miles to Games
Cuyamaca		10	107	51	43	15	19	21	15	35	35	0
Grossmont	10		108	44	38	15	13	16	16	33	33	0
Imperial Valley	107	108		151	145	120	119	123	119	124	124	0
MiraCosta	51	44	151		10	40	34	32	51	52	52	0
Palomar	43	38	145	10		37	31	23	47	47	47	0
San Diego City	15	15	120	40	37		7	15	15	33	33	0
San Diego Mesa	19	13	119	34	31	7		10	19	32	32	0
San Diego Miramar	21	16	123	32	23	15	10		27	33	33	0
Southwestern	15	16	119	51	47	15	19	27		39	39	0

So. California Conferencing Committee Proposed Conference No. 2 (Oct. '09)

	Barstow	Chaffey	Citrus	Desert	Mt. San Antonio	Mt. San Jacinto	Rio Hondo	Riverside	San Bernardino	Victor Valley	Proposed Average Miles to Games	Current Average Miles to Games	Change in Miles to Games
Barstow		72	90	137	91	105	103	80	70	40	88	87	1
Chaffey	72		21	88	25	56	34	25	23	42	43	64	-21
Citrus	90	21		105	8	73	16	39	39	60	50	83	-33
Desert	137	88	105		100	55	111	70	67	107	93	111	-18
Mt. San Antonio	91	25	8	100		67	14	34	35	62	48	34	14
Mt. San Jacinto	105	56	73	55	67		79	38	48	75	66	85	-19
Rio Hondo	103	34	16	111	14	79		45	46	73	58	85	-27
Riverside	80	25	39	70	34	38	45		12	50	44	43	1
San Bernardino	70	22	39	67	35	48	46	12		41	42	61	-19
Victor Valley	40	42	60	107	62	75	73	50	41		61	67	-6

So. California Conferencing Committee Proposed Conference No. 3 (Oct. '09)

	Antelope Valley	Canyons	LA Mission	LA Pierce	LA Valley	Moorpark	Oxnard	Santa Barbara	Ventura	West LA	Proposed Average Miles to Games	Current Average Miles to Games	Change in Miles to Games
Antelope Valley		46	50	64	59	70	103	136	106	70	78	91	-13
Canyons	46		14	28	22	37	67	76	45	34	41	61	-20
LA Mission	50	14		22	14	31	61	94	64	28	42	63	-21
LA Pierce	64	28	22		12	30	41	74	44	21	37	57	-20
LA Valley	59	22	14	12		34	51	83	53	18	38	57	-19
Moorpark	70	37	31	30	34		33	66	36	44	42	64	-22
Oxnard	103	67	61	41	51	33		41	11	60	52	70	-18
Santa Barbara	136	76	94	74	83	66	41		33	93	77	85	-8
Ventura	106	45	64	44	53	36	11	33		62	50	66	-16
West LA	70	34	28	21	18	44	60	93	62		48	65	-17

So. California Conferencing Committee Proposed Conference No. 4 (Oct. '09)

	Compton	Cypress	Fullerton	Golden West	Irvine Valley	Orange Coast	Saddleback	Santa Ana	Santiago Canyon	Proposed Average Miles to Games	Current Average Miles to Games	Change in Miles to Games
Compton		14	19	19	33	31	44	26	30	27	18	9
Cypress	14		10	8	24	19	39	14	21	19	23	-4
Fullerton	19	10		16	20	22	31	12	15	18	21	-3
Golden West	19	8	16		13	13	28	11	18	16	20	-4
Irvine Valley	33	24	20	13		11	14	11	11	17	18	-1
Orange Coast	31	19	22	13	11		23	13	17	19	21	-2
Saddleback	44	39	31	28	14	23		22	22	28	29	-1
Santa Ana	26	14	12	11	11	13	22		11	15	17	-2
Santiago Canyon	30	21	15	18	11	17	22	11		18	18	0

So. California Conferencing Committee Proposed Conference No. 5 (Oct. '09)

	Cerritos	East LA	El Camino	Glendale	Long Beach	LA Harbor	LA Southwest	LA Trade Tech	Pasadena	Santa Monica		Proposed Average Miles to Games		Current Average Miles to Games		Change in Miles to Games
Cerritos		14	16	26	8	18	15	18	31	29		19		18		1
East LA	14		23	17	20	25	19	8	10	21		17		18		-1
El Camino	16	23		26	18	12	5	15	27	16		18		18		0
Glendale	26	17	26		31	30	21	13	9	24		22		64		-42
Long Beach	8	20	18	31		17	17	23	37	31		22		21		1
LA Harbor	18	25	12	30	17		13	20	32	25		21		20		1
LA Southwest	15	19	5	21	17	13		10	23	16		15		17		-2
LA Trade Tech	18	8	15	13	23	20	10		15	13		15		17		-2
Pasadena	31	10	27	9	37	32	23	15		26		23		25		-2
Santa Monica	29	21	16	24	31	25	16	13	26			22		65		-43

Conferencing Committee Proposal Central Valley Conference (Oct.'09)

	Allan Hancock	Bakersfield	Cuesta	Fresno	Merced	Porterville	Reedley	Sequoias	Taft	West Hills /Coalinga	West Hills/Lemoore		Proposed Average Miles to Games		Current Average Miles to Games		Change in Miles to Games
Allan Hancock		132	33	174	227	200	179	156	88	153	139		148		137		11
Bakersfield	132		141	115	169	53	102	75	41	106	100		103		121		-17
Cuesta	33	141		141	195	167	147	134	95	102	124		128		165		-39
Fresno	174	115	141		57	77	27	45	140	73	33		88		69		19
Merced	227	169	195	57		132	81	99	194	115	87		111		93		20
Porterville	200	53	167	77	132		65	34	83	98	55		96		87		10
Reedley	179	102	147	27	81	65		32	127	79	42		88		71		19
Sequoias	156	75	134	45	99	34	32		100	65	26		77		69		8
Taft	88	41	95	140	197	83	127	100		108	97		108		132		-24
West Hills/Coalinga	153	106	102	73	115	98	79	65	108		40		94		93		1
West Hills/Lemoore	139	100	124	33	87	55	42	26	197	40			74		49		25

SPORT OFFERINGS OF PROPOSED RECONFIGURED CONFERENCES

CONFERENCE #1 (SOUTH-9)																							
	MEN											WOMEN											
COLLEGE	BB	BK	CC	FB	GF	SC	SW	TN	TF	VB	WP	WR	BD	BK	CC	GF	SC	SB	SW	TN	TF	VB	WP
Cuyamaca		X	X		X	X			X					X	X		X			X	X	X	
Grossmont	X	X		SCFA			X	X		X	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X		X	X
Imperial Valley	X	X				X		X						X	X		X	X		X		X	
Mira Costa		X				X								X			X						
Palomar	X	X	X	SCFA	X	X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
San Diego City	X	X	X			X		X		X			X	X	X		X	X		X		X	
San Diego Mesa	X	X	X	SCFA		X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X
San Diego Miramar		X									X						X						X
Southwestern	X	X	X	SCFA		X	X		X		X			X	X		X	X	X		X	X	X
Total	6	9	5	4	2	7	4	5	3	4	5	1	3	8	7	1	9	6	4	6	4	7	5

SPORT OFFERINGS OF PROPOSED RECONFIGURED CONFERENCES

CONFERENCE #2 (SOUTHWEST-10)																								
COLLEGE	MEN												WOMEN											
	BB	BK	CC	FB	GF	SC	SW	TN	TF	VB	WP	WR	BD	BK	CC	GF	SC	SB	SW	TN	TF	VB	WP	
Barstow	X	X	X											X	X			X						
Chaffey	X	X		SCFA		X	X		X		X			X			X	X	X		X	X	X	
Citrus	X	X	X	SCFA	X	X	X		X		X			X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	
Desert	X	X	X	SCFA	X	X		X						X	X	X	X	X		X		X		
Mt. San Antonio	X	X	X	SCFA	X	X	X	X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Mt. San Jacinto	X	X		SCFA	X			X						X		X	X	X		X		X		
Rio Hondo	X	X	X			X	X		X			X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Riverside	X	X	X	SCFA	X	X	X	X	X		X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
San Bernardino Valley	X	X	X	SCFA		X			X					X	X		X	X			X	X		
Victor Valley	X	X	X	SCFA	X	X		X				X		X	X		X	X		X		X		
Total	10	10	8	8	6	8	5	5	6	0	4	3	0	10	8	4	9	10	5	6	6	9	4	

SPORT OFFERINGS OF PROPOSED RECONFIGURED CONFERENCES

CONFERENCE #3 (NORTH-10)																								
	MEN												WOMEN											
COLLEGE	BB	BK	CC	FB	GF	SC	SW	TN	TF	VB	WP	WR	BD	BK	CC	GF	SC	SB	SW	TN	TF	VB	WP	
Antelope Valley	X	X	X	SCFA	X				X					X	X		X	X		X	X	X		
Canyons	X	X	X	SCFA	X	X	X		X					X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X		
LA Mission	X					X											X	X						
LA Pierce	X	X		SCFA			X	X		X				X			X	X	X			X		
LA Valley	X	X	X	SCFA			X		X		X			X	X		X	X	X		X		X	
Moorpark	X	X	X	SCFA		X			X	X	X			X	X		X	X			X	X	X	
Oxnard	X	X	X			X								X	X		X	X				X		
Santa Barbara	X	X	X	SCFA	X	X		X	X	X				X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X		
Ventura	X	X	X	SCFA	X		X	X	X		X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
West LA	X	X	X	SCFA					X					X	X		X				X	X		
Total	10	9	8	8	4	5	4	3	7	3	3	0	0	9	8	2	10	9	4	3	7	8	3	

SPORT OFFERINGS OF PROPOSED RECONFIGURED CONFERENCES

CONFERENCE #4 (NORTHWEST-9)																							
COLLEGE	MEN												WOMEN										
	BB	BK	CC	FB	GF	SC	SW	TN	TF	VB	WP	WR	BD	BK	CC	GF	SC	SB	SW	TN	TF	VB	WP
Cypress	X	X			X	X	X	X						X			X	X	X	X		X	X
Compton	X	X		SCFA		X			X				X	X			X				X		
Fullerton	X	X	X	SCFA		X	X	X	X		X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Golden West	X		X	SCFA		X	X		X	X	X				X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
Irvine Valley	X	X			X	X		X		X			X	X		X	X			X		X	
Orange Coast	X	X	X	SCFA	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Saddleback	X	X	X	SCFA	X		X	X	X		X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Santa Ana	X	X		SCFA	X	X					X	X		X	X	X	X				X	X	
Santiago Canyon			X		X	X			X						X	X	X	X			X		
Total	8	7	5	6	6	8	5	5	6	3	5	1	3	7	6	5	9	7	5	5	6	7	6

SPORT OFFERINGS OF PROPOSED RECONFIGURED CONFERENCES

CONFERENCE #5 (NORTHEAST-10)

COLLEGE	MEN												WOMEN										
	BB	BK	CC	FB	GF	SC	SW	TN	TF	VB	WP	WR	BD	BK	CC	GF	SC	SB	SW	TN	TF	VB	WP
Cerritos	X	X	X	SCFA		X	X	X	X		X	X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X
East LA	X	X	X	SCFA		X						X	X	X	X		X	X			X	X	
El Camino	X	X	X	SCFA	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Glendale	X	X	X	SCFA	X	X		X	X					X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	
Long Beach	X	X	X	SCFA	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
LA Harbor	X	X		SCFA		X										X	X					X	
LA Southwest		X		SCFA					X					X	X						X		
LA Trade Tech		X	X				X		X		X			X	X				X		X		
Pasadena	X	X	X	SCFA		X	X		X		X		X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X	X
Santa Monica		X	X	SCFA			X		X	X	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Total	7	10	8	9	3	7	6	4	8	3	6	2	4	9	9	2	8	8	6	5	9	8	5

SPORT OFFERINGS OF PROPOSED RECONFIGURED CONFERENCES

INDEPENDENT																								
		MEN											WOMEN											
COLLEGE	BB	BK	CC	FB	GF	SC	SW	TN	TF	VB	WP	WR	BD	BK	CC	GF	SC	SB	SW	TN	TF	VB	WP	
LA City																						X		
Cerro Coso	X													X										
Total	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0

SPORT OFFERINGS OF PROPOSED RECONFIGURED CONFERENCES

CENTRAL VALLEY CONFERENCE																							
COLLEGE	MEN												WOMEN										
	BB	BK	CC	FB	GF	SC	SW	TN	TF	VB	WP	WR	BD	BK	CC	GF	SC	SB	SW	TN	TF	VB	WP
Allan Hancock	X	X	X	SCFA	X	X			X					X	X		X	X		X	X	X	
Bakersfield	X	X	X	SCFA	X	X	X	X	X			X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Cuesta	X	X	X				X		X		X	X		X	X	X		X	X		X	X	X
Fresno	X	X	X	NCFA	X	X		X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
Reedley	X	X		NCFA	X			X	X					X		X		X		X	X	X	
Merced	X	X		NCFA			X		X		X			X			X	X		X	X	X	X
Porterville	X	X												X			X					X	
Sequoias	X	X	X	NCFA		X	X	X	X					X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	
Taft	X					X								X			X	X				X	
West Hills	X	X		NCFA													X					X	
Lemoore			X		X	X						X			X	X	X						
Total	10	9	6	7	5	6	4	4	7	0	2	4	1	9	6	4	7	10	4	5	7	10	3

**Southern California Conferencing Committee
Proposal Information
(Mileages computed using COA/CCCAA Mileage Chart)**

Distribution of Colleges with Reduced Travel

<u>Miles</u>	
0-5	22
6-10	2
11-15	1
16-20	10
21-25	4
26-30	1
31-35	1
35+	3
Total	44 Colleges

Distribution of Colleges with Increased Travel

<u>Miles</u>	
0-5	6
6-10	3
11-15	2
16-20	3
21-25	1
26-30	0
31-35	0
35+	0
Total	15 Colleges

Summary: (Total of 59 colleges)

- **Colleges with a decrease of 16 miles or more 19 (32% of total).**
- **Colleges with an increase of 16 miles or more 4 (7% of total).**
- **11 colleges did not reduce or increase mileage.**
- **75% of colleges either remained the same or reduced mileage.**